

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-4327  
Issue No.: 2009  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 12, 2014  
County: Wayne (18)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, an in-person hearing was conducted on February 12, 2014, from Taylor, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. [REDACTED] testified and appeared as Claimant's authorized hearing representative. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Medical Contact Worker.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) on the basis that Claimant is not a disabled individual.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On 7/29/13, Claimant applied for MA benefits, including retroactive MA benefits from 5/2013.
2. On 9/13/13, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual (see Exhibits 1-2).
3. On 9/20/13, DHS denied Claimant's application for MA benefits and mailed a Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the denial.

4. On 10/3/13, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the denial of MA benefits.
5. On 11/20/13, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual, in part, by determining that Claimant does not have a severe impairment.
6. On 2/12/14, an administrative hearing was held.
7. During the hearing, Claimant presented new medical evidence (Exhibits A1-A31).
8. During the hearing, Claimant waived the right to receive a timely hearing decision.
9. During the hearing, Claimant and DHS waived any objections to allow the admission of additional documents considered and forwarded by SHRT.
10. On 2/14/14, an updated hearing packet was forwarded to SHRT and an Interim Order Extending the Record for Review by State Hearing Review Team was subsequently issued which extended the record 90 days from the date of hearing.
11. On 3/28/14, SHRT determined Claimant to be a disabled individual, in part, based on application of Medical-Vocational Rule 202.06.
12. On 4/2/14, the Michigan Administrative Hearings System received the hearing packet and updated SHRT decision.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (“BAM”), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (“BEM”), and the Bridges Reference Tables (“RFT”).

The present case concerns an MA application denial. It was not disputed that Claimant sought MA benefits, effective 5/2013. It was not disputed that DHS denied Claimant’s application following a medical evaluation determining that Claimant was not a disabled individual.

An administrative hearing followed, at which, Claimant presented new medical evidence. Pending the hearing, if new or additional medical information is received, clearly identify it as "NEW MEDICAL - **NOT REVIEWED BY MRT**" and forward it to MAHS. BAM 600 (3/014), p. 30. MAHS will forward it to SHRT. *Id.*


Following the administrative hearing, SHRT determined that Claimant was a disabled individual, effective 5/2013. Because DHS determined Claimant to be a disabled individual effective 5/2013, there is no longer a dispute concerning Claimant's claim of disability. Accordingly, the original DHS determination that Claimant was not disabled is reversed.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of MA benefit eligibility. Accordingly, it is ordered that DHS:

- (1) re-register Claimant's application dated 7/29/13, including Claimant's request for retroactive MA benefits from 5/2013;
- (2) evaluate Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits subject to the finding that Claimant is a disabled individual, effective 5/2013;
- (3) initiate a supplement for any benefits not received as a result of the improper denial; and
- (4) schedule a review of benefits in one year from the date of this administrative decision, if Claimant is found eligible for future MA benefits.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/21/2014

Date Mailed: 4/21/2014

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

2014-4327/CG

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

