

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-34599  
Issue No(s): 1010  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: May 28, 2014  
County: Wayne (17)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

**HEARING DECISION**

Upon Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37, and Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), particularly 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 28, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative, [REDACTED]; who also served as translator. Participants on behalf of the Department included [REDACTED], Family Independence Specialist Case Manager.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant has exceeded the State 48-month lifetime limit on receipt of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant received FIP benefits.
2. On April 8, 2014, the Department notified Claimant that her FIP case would close because Claimant had exceeded the 48-month State lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance.(Exhibit 1)
3. On April 10, 2014, Claimant filed a request for hearing, disputing the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260; MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (July 2013), p. 1. Time limits are essential to establishing the temporary nature of aid as well as communicating the FIP philosophy to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234, p. 1. Effective October 1, 2011, BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifetime limit of 48 months for State-funded FIP cases for which no months were exempt. BEM 234, p. 1.

The 48-month lifetime limit for State-funded FIP cases allows exemption months in which an individual does not receive a count towards the individual's 48-month lifetime limit. BEM 234, p. 3. Exemption months are months the individual is deferred from the Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for (i) domestic violence; (ii) being 65 years of age or older; (iii) a verified disability or long-term incapacity lasting longer than 90 days (including establishing incapacity); or (iv) being a spouse or parent who provides care for a spouse or child with verified disabilities living in the home. BEM 234, pp. 3-4. FIP benefits received prior to October 1, 2006, are **not** State-funded. BEM 234, pp. 2-3. Once an individual reaches a FIP time limit and the FIP closes, the individual is not eligible for FIP if the individual reapplies and meets an exemption criteria. BEM 234, p. 7.

In the present case, Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits. On April 8, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that effective May 1, 2014, her FIP case would be closed on the basis that she had exceeded the lifetime limit of 48 months for receipt of FIP benefits. (Exhibit 1). At the hearing, the Department presented a Michigan FIP Time Limit summary showing each of the countable months in which Claimant received state funded FIP benefits. (Exhibit 2). The Department testified that it relied on this list to establish that 48 countable months of FIP benefits were issued to Claimant from October 2007 to April 2014. (Exhibit 2).

A review of the Michigan FIP Time Limit summary reveals that from June 2013 to April 2014, Claimant was eligible for an exemption under the establishing incapacity category. (Exhibit 2). The Department remained unable to explain why Claimant was listed as being a mandatory participant in PATH and why those months were being included as countable months on the time limit counter, if Claimant was still establishing incapacity.


The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was no longer eligible for FIP benefits for exceeding the State time limit on receipt of FIP benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case effective May 1, 2014;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FIP benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from May 1, 2014, ongoing; and
3. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 2, 2014

Date Mailed: June 2, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

2014-34599/ZB

- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tlf

cc:

