


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201434447
Issue No(s): 3002, 6000
Case No.:
Hearing Date: May 15, 2014
County: Genesee County DHS #2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 15, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included herself. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator During this hearing Claimant testified there was no Child Development and Care Program issue to resolve. The CDC portion of this hearing is dismissed.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly end Claimant's Food Assistance Program beginning May 1, 2014 for failure to provide a required verification?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 28, 2014, Claimant submitted a pay check stub from one of her employers with a note saying she was no longer working there.
2. On March 4, 2014, Claimant was sent a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) requesting verification of the loss of employment. The verification was due back on March 14, 2014.
3. On March 13, 2014, Claimant submitted a work schedule from the employer.
4. On March 27, 2014, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated her Food Assistance Program benefits would end as of May 1, 2014.
5. On April 7, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request.

6. On April 17, 2014, Claimant attended a pre-hearing conference. At the meeting Claimant reported she was mistaken and was still on call at the employer. Claimant also submitted two pay check stubs from the employer for work on March 17, 2014 and April 3, 2014.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In this case the work schedule was not sufficient to meet policy requirements for verification of the loss of employment. Claimant's Food Assistance Program was pended to end May 1, 2014. On April 17, 2014, prior to the negative action effective date, Claimant reported her mistake regarding the employment and submitted 2 more pay check stubs. Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 220 Case Actions (2014) at page 12 states:

DELETING A NEGATIVE ACTION

All Programs

Negative actions must be deleted from Bridges in some situations.

Requirement Met Before Negative Action Effective Date

Enter the information the client provided to meet the requirement that caused the negative action, using the appropriate Bridges screens. Then follow Additional Steps to Delete a Negative Action in this section.

Additional Steps to Delete a Negative Action

Take these additional steps to delete a negative action in Bridges:

Reactivate the program(s) on the Program Request screen in Bridges.

Run eligibility and certify the results.

Bridges will automatically recalculate benefits based on the information and dates entered in the system; see EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGE in this item.

Hearing Facilitator Smith testified that the supervisor who conducted the pre-hearing conference made note of receiving the pay check stubs and directed the pay amounts to be entered into BRIDGES. HF Smith also reported that BRIDGES still showed the employment as over. It appears that the policy cited above was being pursued but not executed correctly in BRIDGES.


The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it ended Claimant's Food Assistance Program beginning May 1, 2014 for failure to provide a required verification.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's Food Assistance Program and process in accordance with Department policy as cited above.



Gary F Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 16, 2014

Date Mailed: May 16, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

201434447/GFH

- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/hj

cc:

