


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-31490
Issue No(s): 6001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 16, 2014
County: Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 16, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Family Independence Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of CDC benefits.
2. Claimant's CDC case closed.
3. On March 5, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Claimant requested a hearing disputing the Department's actions with respect to her CDC benefits. At the hearing, Claimant stated that she received a letter informing her that her CDC benefits were terminated and another letter informing her that that her CDC case would be reopened for the period beginning March 9, 2014. Claimant testified that she got another letter informing her that she was approved for CDC benefits for March 23, 2014, ongoing.

The Department testified and Claimant confirmed that she had an active CDC case and that her CDC provider was paid through March 8, 2014. The Department stated that because Claimant had requested her Family Independence Program (FIP) case be closed, she was no longer eligible for CDC benefits based on the need reason of receiving FIP. (Exhibit 1). The Department testified that it sent Claimant a verification checklist requesting that she submit proof of her employment and that her CDC case is currently in pending status.

A review of the evidence presented establishes that on February 25, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that she was approved for CDC benefits for March 23, 2014, ongoing. (Exhibit 2). Additionally, the eligibility summary provided reveals that for the period of March 9, 2014 through March 22, 2014, although the status of Claimant's CDC case is showing as approved, the group size listed is zero, which remained unexplained. (Exhibit 3). Further, contrary to the Notice of Case Action presented, as well as the Department's testimony, the eligibility summary shows that Claimant's CDC case closed effective March 23, 2014. (Exhibit 3). It remained unclear why Claimant's CDC benefits were terminated and whether or not she was sent a Notice of Case Action informing her of the case closure effective March 23, 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to


satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's CDC benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's CDC case;
2. Redetermine Claimant's eligibility to receive CDC benefits from March 9, 2014, ongoing;
3. Issue supplements to Claimant and her CDC provider for any CDC benefits they were eligible to receive but did not from March 9, 2014, ongoing; and
4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 30, 2014

Date Mailed: April 30, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

2014-31490/ZB

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tlf

cc:

