

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████
██
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2014-17568
Issue No(s): 1010
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: February 27, 2014
County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 27, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ ██████████, Family Independence Specialist Case Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and was not eligible for an exception?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On an unverified date, Claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits.
2. On December 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that her application had been denied on the basis that she had exceeded the 60 month federal lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance. (Exhibit 1)
3. On December 16, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (July 2013), p. 1. Under the federal FIP time limit, individuals are not eligible for continued FIP benefits once they receive a cumulative total of 60 months of FIP benefits unless they are eligible for an exception to the federal time limit. An exception exists for individuals who were, as of January 9, 2013, (1) approved/active for FIP benefits **and** (2) exempt from participation in the Partnership. Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for domestic violence, establishing incapacity, incapacitated more than 90 days, age 65 or older, or caring for a spouse or child with disabilities. BEM 234, p. 2; MCL 400.57a(4). The exception continues as long as the individual remains eligible for any of the foregoing employment deferral reasons. BEM 234, p. 2. The federal limit count begins October 1996. BEM 234, p. 1.

In this case, Claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits that was denied by the Department on the basis that as of September 1, 2011, Claimant had exceeded the 60 month lifetime federal limit for receipt of FIP benefits. (Exhibit 1). At the hearing, the Department provided a Federal TANF Time Limit showing each of the countable months Claimant received FIP benefits. (Exhibit 2). The Department testified that it relied on this list to establish that 76 countable months of FIP benefits were issued to Claimant between October 1996 and September 2011. (Exhibit 2). Additionally, the Department testified and Claimant confirmed that she did not have an active FIP case and was not receiving FIP benefits on January 9, 2013; therefore, Claimant does not qualify for an exception to the federal time limit, as she indicated the last time she received FIP benefits was in 2011. BEM 234, p. 2; MCL 400.57a(4).


Claimant disputed the Department's testimony concerning the countable months of assistance received and stated that she did not receive any FIP benefits for the months of November 2004 and December 2004 and that for all of 2006, she did not receive cash assistance because she did not have any children in her home at the time and did not have an active FIP case. Although Claimant provided this as testimony, no supporting documentation was presented and Claimant confirmed that she received a copy of the Department's evidence packet prior to the hearing, so she was aware of the time periods in which the Department was alleging that she received FIP benefits.

Claimant further stated that she did not receive FIP benefits for the months of April 2005 through October 2005 because she was working at the time. The Department testified and a review of the Federal TANF summary establishes that the months of June 2005 through October 2005 were not countable months and not included towards the time limit.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP eligibility for exceeding the federal time limit on receipt of FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 11, 2014

Date Mailed: March 11, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2014-17568/ZB

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]