

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] No.: 201417069  
Issue No(s): 2009, 4009  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 16, 2014  
County: Genesee County DHS #6

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Gary F. Heisler

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 16, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included himself. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator [REDACTED]

**ISSUES**

Did the Department of Human Services properly determine that Claimant is not disabled and deny Claimant's April 30, 2013 application for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability, retroactive Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was born on [REDACTED]. Claimant is 5' 8" tall and weighs approximately 156 pounds. Claimant's formal education consists of 12 years of school.
2. Claimant reports past relevant work history in construction as a laborer, heavy equipment operator, and doing equipment maintenance. Claimant reports last working in 2011.
3. Claimant asserts disability based on low back pain, right knee pain, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and anxiety.
4. On April 30, 2013, Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability, retroactive Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA).

5. On October 2, 2013, the Department of Human Services Medical Review Team determined that Claimant was not disabled in accordance with the standards for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability or State Disability Assistance (SDA).
6. On October 9, 2013, Claimant was sent notice of the Department's determination.
7. On December 10, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.
8. On February 2, 2014, the State Hearing Review Team determined that Claimant was not disabled in accordance with the standards for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability or State Disability Assistance (SDA).
9. At this hearing Claimant submitted new medical evidence for the first time. The additional medical evidence was sent to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT).
10. On June 18, 2014, the State Hearing Review Team again determined that Claimant was not disabled in accordance with the standards for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability or State Disability Assistance (SDA).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Disability determinations done by the State of Michigan for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability use the Social Security Administration standards found in United States Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 20, Part 416. The law defines disability as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. To meet this definition, you must have severe impairments that make you

unable to do your past relevant work or any other substantial gainful work that exists in the national economy.

Disability determinations done by the State of Michigan, for State Disability Assistance (SDA), use the same standards with one minor difference. For State Disability Assistance (SDA) the medically determinable physical or mental impairments that prevent substantial gainful activity must result in death or last at least 90 days.

In accordance with the Federal Regulations an initial disability determination is a sequential evaluation process. The evaluation consists of five steps that are followed in a set order.

### **STEP 1**

At this step a determination is made on whether Claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activity (20 CFR 416.920(b)). If you are performing activities for pay or profit, we will use 20 CFR 416.971 through 416.975 to evaluate the activities to determine if they are substantial gainful activity. Substantial gainful activity is defined as work activity: that is both substantial and gainful; and involves doing significant physical or mental activities. Gainful work activity is work activity that you do for pay or profit (20 CFR 416.972). If you are engaged in substantial gainful activity, you are not disabled regardless of how severe your physical or mental impairments are and regardless of your age, education, and work experience.

Based on the evidence in the record and Claimant's testimony, Claimant has not received earnings as an employee since the date of application. Therefore, Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity. Claimant is not found ineligible and the analysis proceeds to step two.

### **STEP 2**

At the second step it is determined whether you have a severe physical or mental impairment that meets the duration requirement or a combination of impairments that is severe and meets the duration requirement (20CFR 416.920). An impairment or combination of impairments is severe within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;

Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

Use of judgment;

Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations;  
and

Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities (20 CFR 416.921).

In addition to the limiting effect of the impairments they must also meet durational requirements, 90 days for State Disability Assistance (SDA) and 12 months for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability. If we determine that your impairments are not severe, you are not disabled.

Claimant asserts disability based on low back pain, right knee pain, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and anxiety. What follows is a synopsis of all relevant evidence in the record from medical sources presented in chronological order.

There is a February 28, 2014, Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment done by S. Manssur, FNP, BC. (New pages 9-11) The Nurse determined Claimant was markedly limited in: 2 of 3 understanding and memory categories; 6 of 8 sustained concentration and persistence categories; 2 of 5 social interaction categories; and 2 of 4 adaptation categories.

There is a February 28, 2014, Medical Source Statement of Physical Ability To Do Work-Related Activities done by S. Manssur, FNP, BC. (New pages 12-17) The Nurse determined Claimant was able to: frequently lift up to 10 pounds; occasionally lift up to 20 pounds; sit 4 hours, stand 2 hours, and walk 1 hour at one time without interruption; frequently use both hands to handle, finger and feel items; never use either hand for reaching; occasionally use both hands for pushing and pulling; never use his feet to operate controls; shop, travel without a companion, walk a block at a reasonable pace on rough or uneven pavement, climb a few stairs at a reasonable pace with use of a single hand rail, and sort/handle/use paper/files. The Nurse noted that Claimant was "emotionally labile in the office on numerous occasions and his chronic pain and multiple mental illness are primary to impacting his activities and thus he is severely limited."

There is a September 5, 2013, office visit report from [REDACTED] FNP, BC. (New pages 19-25) Claimant came in for follow up on his knee pain. The Nurse reported Claimant had a limping gait as well as positive straight leg raise left and right. The Nurse listed diagnoses as: other and unspecified derangement of medial menisc; sciatica; and med meniscal tear.

There is an August 19, 2013, examination report from Dr. [REDACTED] (New pages 27 & 28) Claimant was complaining of right knee pain. The Doctor reviewed a March 12, 2013 MRI and an X-ray of Claimant's right knee. During the physical examination the

Doctor found pain and swelling of the knee. The Doctor also reported "There are no findings of radiculopathy or any other significant back issues." The Doctor diagnosed Claimant as having a torn meniscus and early osteoarthritis.

There is an August 12, 2013, examination report from [REDACTED] (New pages 29 & 30) The Doctor found some tenderness across the lumbar facets and positive straight leg raise on the right side. The Doctor reviewed Claimant's MRI and noted some diffuse neural foraminal narrowing and mild degenerative disc disease.

There is a July 29, 2013, medical examination report from [REDACTED] (Pages 48 & 49) The Doctor concluded that Claimant is employable if cleared by psychiatrist.

There is a May 30, 2013, Michigan Disability Determination Service Psychological Report from Ph.D. [REDACTED] (Pages 51-54) The Doctor observed that Claimant's gait and posture appeared normal, he walked rapidly and without limping, and showed no overt posturing or other pain related behaviors. Following examination, the Doctor concluded that Claimant's mental abilities to understand, attend to, remember, and carry out instructions related to work-related behaviors were not overtly impaired. The Doctor also concluded that Claimant's abilities to respond appropriately to co-workers and supervisors and to adapt to changes in the workplace were moderately impaired.

There is an April 1, 2013, Psychiatric/Psychological Examination Report (DHS-49D) and Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment (DHS-49E) done by A. Broecker, MSW. (Pages 34-38) Ms. [REDACTED] diagnosed Claimant as having: Major Depressive Disorder recurrent severe w/o psychotic features; PTSD; General Anxiety Disorder; and Panic Disorder w/o agoraphobia. Ms. [REDACTED] specified that while Claimant was stable on medications he was able to complete daily functioning with moderate difficulty but had difficulty concentrating and staying focused on any one task for very long. Ms. Boecker also found that Claimant's anxiety and panic attacks had decreased with therapy and taking medications as prescribed. On the Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment (DHS-49E) Ms. [REDACTED] determined Claimant was markedly limited in: 0 of 3 understanding and memory categories; 2 of 8 sustained concentration and persistence categories; 2 of 5 social interaction categories; and 2 of 4 adaptation categories.

There is a March 16, 2013, MRI report on Claimant's right knee from Dr. Tummala. (Pages 58 & 59) The Doctor saw: evidence of an old fracture of Claimant's tibia and intercondylar eminence; a chronic tear of the anterior cruciate ligament; mucoid degenerative change in the posterior cruciate ligament; horizontal tears of the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus and posterior horn of the medial meniscus; cartilaginous defects in the medial femoral condyle and medial tibial plateau; and mild osteoarthritis.

There is a March 8, 2013, radiology report on Claimant's lumbar spine, done by Dr. Sparschu. (New page 26) The Doctor found: mild intervertebral disk space narrowing at L2-L3 with anterior degenerative spurring; the remainder of intervertebral disk spaces of the lumbar spine are well maintained; and no acute fracture or dislocation identified.

20 CFR 416.927

How we weigh medical opinions. Regardless of its source, we will evaluate every medical opinion we receive. Unless we give a treating source's opinion controlling weight under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, we consider all of the following factors in deciding the weight we give to any medical opinion.

**Examining relationship.** Generally, we give more weight to the opinion of a source who has examined you than to the opinion of a source who has not examined you.

**Treatment relationship.** Generally, we give more weight to opinions from your treating sources, since these sources are likely to be the medical professionals most able to provide a detailed, longitudinal picture of your medical impairment(s) and may bring a unique perspective to the medical evidence that cannot be obtained from the objective medical findings alone or from reports of individual examinations, such as consultative examinations or brief hospitalizations.

**Supportability.** The more a medical source presents relevant evidence to support an opinion, particularly medical signs and laboratory findings, the more weight we will give that opinion. The better an explanation a source provides for an opinion, the more weight we will give that opinion. Furthermore, because nonexamining sources have no examining or treating relationship with you, the weight we will give their opinions will depend on the degree to which they provide supporting explanations for their opinions.

**Consistency.** Generally, the more consistent an opinion is with the record as a whole, the more weight we will give to that opinion.

**Specialization.** We generally give more weight to the opinion of a specialist about medical issues related to his or her area of specialty than to the opinion of a source who is not a specialist.

The objective medical evidence has established that Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimus* effect on Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for twelve months; therefore, Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and the analysis continues.

**STEP 3**

At the third step, it is determined whether your impairments meet or equal the criteria of an impairment listed in a Social Security Administration impairment listing 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1. If your impairment meets or equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement, you are disabled.

Medical evidence related to Claimant's right knee was compared with the Social Security Administration impairment listing 1.02 Major dysfunction of a joint(s) (due to any cause): Characterized by gross anatomical deformity (e.g., subluxation, contracture, bony or fibrous ankylosis, instability) and chronic joint pain and stiffness with signs of limitation of motion or other abnormal motion of the affected joint(s), and findings on appropriate medically acceptable imaging of joint space narrowing, bony destruction, or ankylosis of the affected joint(s). With:

- A. Involvement of one major peripheral weight-bearing joint (*i.e.*, hip, knee, or ankle), resulting in inability to ambulate effectively, as defined in 1.00B2b

To ambulate effectively, individuals must be capable of sustaining a reasonable walking pace over a sufficient distance to be able to carry out activities of daily living. They must have the ability to travel without companion assistance to and from a place of employment or school. Therefore, examples of ineffective ambulation include, but are not limited to, the inability to walk without the use of a walker, two crutches or two canes, the inability to walk a block at a reasonable pace on rough or uneven surfaces, the inability to use standard public transportation, the inability to carry out routine ambulatory activities, such as shopping and banking, and the inability to climb a few steps at a reasonable pace with the use of a single hand rail. The ability to walk independently about one's home without the use of assistive devices does not, in and of itself, constitute effective ambulation.

Claimant does not meet or equal these listings because there is no medical evidence in the record which indicates he needs a walker or two crutches or canes to ambulate. In the February 28, 2014, Medical Source Statement of Physical Ability To Do Work-Related Activities done by S. Manssur, FNP, BC, it states Claimant is able to: shop, travel without a companion; walk a block at a reasonable pace on rough or uneven pavement; and climb a few stairs at a reasonable pace with use of a single hand rail.

The medical evidence regarding Claimant's mental impairments are conflicting. In accordance with the criterion of 20 CFR 416.927 cited above, the May 30, 2013, Michigan Disability Determination Service Psychological Report from Ph.D. Dickson is given controlling weight. Dr. Dickson's evaluation was compared to Social Security Administration impairment listing 12.04 Affective Disorders: Characterized by a disturbance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. The required level of severity for these disorders is met when there is credible medical evidence that shows:

Medically documented persistence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:

Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:

- a. Anhedonia or pervasive loss of interest in almost all activities; or
- b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
- c. Sleep disturbance; or
- d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
- e. Decreased energy; or
- f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
- g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
- h. Thoughts of suicide; or
- i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking

Which results in at least two of the following:

- 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
- 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
- 4. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration

Claimant's mental impairments did not meet or equal these listings because Ph.D. Dickson's evaluation was that Claimant had only some moderate limitations regarding his ability to function in a work-related environment.

#### **STEP 4**

At the fourth step, we assess your residual functional capacity to determine if you are still able to perform work you have done in the past. Your residual functional capacity is your remaining physical, mental, and other abilities. It is a description of your maximum performance at work-like activities considering your impairments. It does not require that you be pain free, but rather is based on your ability to do work-like activities on a sustained basis despite limitations, such as pain, from your impairments. 20 CFR 416.929 says that statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled, there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment(s) which could reasonably be expected to produce the pain or other symptoms alleged.

Your residual functional capacity is determined by considering all symptoms and the extent to which they can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. All relevant evidence including reported symptoms and medical opinions are considered as required in 20 CFR 416.927, 416.928, and 416.929.

Physical, mental, and other abilities are outlined as follows in 20 CFR 416.945.

**Physical abilities.** When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work.

**Mental abilities.** When we assess your mental abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your mental limitations and restrictions and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to carry out certain mental activities, such as limitations in understanding, remembering, and carrying out instructions, and in responding appropriately to supervision, coworkers, and work pressures in a work setting, may reduce your ability to do past work and other work.

**Other abilities affected by impairment(s).** Some medically determinable impairment(s), such as skin impairment(s), epilepsy, impairment(s) of vision, hearing or other senses, and impairment(s) which impose environmental restrictions, may cause limitations and restrictions which affect other work-related abilities. If you have this type of impairment(s), we consider any resulting limitations and restrictions which may reduce your ability to do past work and other work in deciding your residual functional capacity.

Classifications of work based on physical exertion requirements are defined in 20 CFR 416.967.

(a) *Sedentary work.* Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

(b) *Light work.* Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with

some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time.

(c) *Medium work.* Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work.

(d) *Heavy work.* Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work.

Claimant asserts disability based on low back pain, right knee pain, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and anxiety. Consideration of your reported symptoms involves two parts. First is determining if there is any underlying medically determinable physical or mental impairment, shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques that could reasonably be expected to produce the symptoms you have reported.

Next all the medically supported symptoms you reported are evaluated. The credibility of the symptom's intensity, persistence, and limiting affects you reported, is considered in light of the entire case record.

After consideration all of the objective medical evidence in accordance with the criterion of 20 CFR 416.927 the physical limitations described in the February 28, 2014, Medical Source Statement of Physical Ability To Do Work-Related Activities done by S. Manssur, FNP, BC and the May 30, 2013, Michigan Disability Determination Service Psychological Report from Ph.D. Dickson mental limitations are given the most weight.

This combination of physical limitations, mental limitations and the intensity, persistence, and limiting effects of their reasonably expected symptoms show you have the residual functional capacity to perform the majority of light work employment.

Your past relevant work in construction as a laborer, heavy equipment operator, and doing equipment maintenance exceeds your residual functional capacity to perform the majority of light work employment. You are not capable of performing any of your past relevant work. You are not found ineligible at this step.

## **STEP 5**

At the fifth step your residual functional capacity (RFC) is considered along with your age, education, and work experience to see if you can make an adjustment to other

work you have not previously done. If you have a combination of sufficient remaining abilities and transferable skills to adjust to other work, you are not disabled. If it is determined that you cannot make an adjustment to other work, we will find that you are disabled.

Age is one of the aspects of your vocational profile considered in this step. The following age categories are established in 20 CFR 416.963 for use in the Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines.

A younger person is under age 50. If you are a younger person we generally do not consider that your age will seriously affect your ability to adjust to other work. However, in some circumstances, we consider that persons age 45-49 are more limited in their ability to adjust to other work than persons who have not attained age 45.

A person closely approaching advanced age is 50-54 years old. If you are closely approaching advanced age, we will consider that your age along with a severe impairment(s) and limited work experience may seriously affect your ability to adjust to other work.

A person of advanced age is over 55. We consider that at advanced age, age significantly affects a person's ability to adjust to other work. We have special rules for persons of advanced age and for persons in this category who are closely approaching retirement age (age 60-64).

Education is another aspects of your vocational profile considered in this step. The following categories of education are established in 20 CFR 416.964 for use in the Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines.

(1) *Illiteracy*. Illiteracy means the inability to read or write. We consider someone illiterate if the person cannot read or write a simple message such as instructions or inventory lists even though the person can sign his or her name. Generally, an illiterate person has had little or no formal schooling.

(2) *Marginal education*. Marginal education means ability in reasoning, arithmetic, and language skills which are needed to do simple, unskilled types of jobs. We generally consider that formal schooling at a 6th grade level or less is a marginal education.

(3) *Limited education*. Limited education means ability in reasoning, arithmetic, and language skills, but not enough to allow a person with these educational qualifications to do most of the more complex job duties needed in semi-skilled or skilled jobs. We generally consider that a 7th grade through the 11th grade level of formal education is a limited education.

(4) *High school education and above.* High school education and above means abilities in reasoning, arithmetic, and language skills acquired through formal schooling at a 12th grade level or above. We generally consider that someone with these educational abilities can do semi-skilled through skilled work.

(5) *Inability to communicate in English.* Since the ability to speak, read and understand English is generally learned or increased at school, we may consider this an educational factor. Because English is the dominant language of the country, it may be difficult for someone who doesn't speak and understand English to do a job, regardless of the amount of education the person may have in another language. Therefore, we consider a person's ability to communicate in English when we evaluate what work, if any, he or she can do. It generally doesn't matter what other language a person may be fluent in.

Work skills are another aspects of your vocational profile considered in this step. The following categories of work skills are established in 20 CFR 416.968 for use in the Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines.

In order to evaluate your skills and to help determine the existence in the national economy of work you are able to do, occupations are classified as unskilled, semi-skilled, and skilled. In classifying these occupations, we use materials published by the Department of Labor. When we make disability determinations under this subpart, we use the following definitions:

(a) *Unskilled work.* Unskilled work is work which needs little or no judgment to do simple duties that can be learned on the job in a short period of time. The job may or may not require considerable strength. For example, we consider jobs unskilled if the primary work duties are handling, feeding and offbearing (that is, placing or removing materials from machines which are automatic or operated by others), or machine tending, and a person can usually learn to do the job in 30 days, and little specific vocational preparation and judgment are needed. A person does not gain work skills by doing unskilled jobs.

(b) *Semi-skilled work.* Semi-skilled work is work which needs some skills but does not require doing the more complex work duties. Semi-skilled jobs may require alertness and close attention to watching machine processes; or inspecting, testing or otherwise looking for irregularities; or tending or guarding equipment, property, materials, or persons against loss, damage or injury; or other types of activities which are similarly less complex than skilled work, but more complex than unskilled work. A job may be classified as semi-skilled where coordination and dexterity are necessary, as when hands or feet must be moved quickly to do repetitive tasks.

(c) *Skilled work.* Skilled work requires qualifications in which a person uses judgment to determine the machine and manual operations to be performed in order to obtain the proper form, quality, or quantity of material to be produced.

Skilled work may require laying out work, estimating quality, determining the suitability and needed quantities of materials, making precise measurements, reading blueprints or other specifications, or making necessary computations or mechanical adjustments to control or regulate the work. Other skilled jobs may require dealing with people, facts, or figures or abstract ideas at a high level of complexity.


The Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines are divided into categories based on the maximum sustained work capability a person still has.

Claimant is a 53 year old with a high school education, the residual functional capacity to perform the majority of light work employment and a history of semi-skilled work. In accordance with Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines Rule 202.14 Claimant is not disabled.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly determined that Claimant is not disabled and deny Claimant's April 30, 2013 application for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability, retroactive Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA).

The Department's action is **UPHELD**.



---

Gary F. Heisler  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2014

Date Mailed: July 11, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order.

MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/hj

cc:

