

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████  
██████████████████  
██████████████████

Reg. No.: 2014-13819  
Issue No(s): 3002  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: December 18, 2013  
County: SSPC-EAST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on December 18, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████, Assistance Payment Supervisor and ██████████ Assistance Payment Worker.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a failure to submit verification of his employment?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On October 28, 2013, Claimant submitted an expedited application for FAP benefits.
2. On October 28, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that he was approved for FAP benefits of ██████████ the period of October 28, 2013, through October 31, 2013, and ██████████ November 1, 2013, through November 30, 2013. (Exhibit 1, pp.5-11)

3. On October 28, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist for which he was required to submit the requested verification of his in-kind wages by November 7, 2013. (Exhibit 1, pp.12-13)
4. On November 13, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that effective December 1, 2013, his FAP case would be closed on the basis that he failed to provide verification of his earned income. (Exhibit 1, pp.17-22)
5. On November 19, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130 (July 2013), p.1. To request verification of information, the Department sends a Verification Checklist (VCL) which tells the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130, pp. 2-3. FAP clients are given 10 calendar days to provide the verifications requested by the Department. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130, pp.5-6. For FAP cases, the Department sends a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 6.

In this case, the Department testified that it timely received one page of the completed Verification of Employment form and that on November 6, 2013; the Department called Claimant and left a message to inform him that the second page of the verification form was not received. The Department stated that because it did not receive both pages of the completed Verification of Employment form by the due date, it sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on November 13, 2013, and initiated the closure of his FAP case.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that on November 5, 2013, he faxed both pages of the Verification of Employment form that was completed by his employer to the Department. Claimant stated that after speaking to the Department and being told that only one page

of the verification form was received, on November 6, 2013, he went to a different store to fax the completed forms to the Department a second time to ensure that they would be received. Although the Department testified that it never received the second fax with the employment verifications, Claimant provided a copy of the fax confirmation page establishing that he did send the Department the requested information on November 6, 2013. (Exhibit A).

Under the facts in this case, based on Claimant's credible testimony and the evidence presented, Claimant made a reasonable effort to provide the information requested by the Department. BAM 130, p 6.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective December 1, 2013, based on a failure to provide verification of his earned income and employment.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case effective December 1, 2013;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that he was entitled to receive but did not from December 1, 2013, ongoing; and
3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.



**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 20, 2013

Date Mailed: December 20, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]