


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-12695
Issue No(s): 2003
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: December 19, 2013
County: Clinton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] the Claimant, and [REDACTED] friend. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] Lead Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Adult Medical Program (AMP) case because the required Redetermination was not completed?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant's AMP case was due for Redetermination in October 2013.
2. On September 16, 2013, the Department sent a Redetermination form to the Claimant listing a due date of October 1, 2013.
3. On October 19, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the AMP case would close effective November 1, 2013, because the Claimant failed to return the Redetermination form and/or provide required proofs.
4. On October 31, 2013, the Claimant faxed the required Redetermination form to the Department.
5. On November 13, 2013, the Claimant filed a request for hearing protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility, including completion of necessary forms, and must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. The Department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limits specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130.

Benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. A redetermination packet is considered complete when all of the sections of the redetermination form including the signature section are completed. If a client files an application for redetermination before the end of the benefit period, but fails to take a required action, the case is denied at the end of the benefit period. BAM 210.

The Lead Worker testified that the Claimant's AMP case was certified to close because the required Redetermination form was not returned by the due date. While the first three pages of the form were completed and faxed to the Department on October 31, 2013, the fourth page was not included, which is the signature page. The Lead Worker explained that without the signature page, the Redetermination could not be logged and processed.

The Claimant and his friend testified that the September 16, 2013, Redetermination form was not received until after the October 1, 2013, due date had already passed. Upon calling the Department, it was advised that the Claimant could still return the completed Redetermination form by the end of the month. The Claimant completed the Redetermination form and had it faxed to the Department on October 31, 2013. The Claimant submitted a fax confirmation print out showing that 8 pages were successfully transmitted to the Department on October 31, 2013, at 2:41 pm. (Exhibit 1) This would

be consistent with a fax machine scanning and sending both the front and back sides of the four page Redetermination form. The Lead Worker reviewed the fax confirmation print out and acknowledged that there was a problem at the Department's end if only three pages of the Claimant's eight page fax were received.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's AMP case based on the required Redetermination not being completed.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate the Claimant's AMP case retroactive to the November 1, 2013, effective date.
2. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for AMP in accordance with Department policy.

/s/
Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 20, 2013

Date Mailed: December 23, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

cc:

