

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

████████████████████  
████████████████  
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2014-12687  
Issue No(s): 2001;3000  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: December 12, 2013  
County: Oakland (03)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ ██████████, Eligibility Specialist.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and calculate her Medical Assistance (MA) deductible ?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
2. Claimant was approved for MA under the Group 2 Caretaker program with a deductible of \$563, effective December 1, 2013.
3. Claimant disputed the amount of her MA deductible.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

### **FAP**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to Claimant's FAP benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she understands and is satisfied with the actions taken by the Department and that she no longer had any issues to address with respect to her FAP case. Claimant further stated that she did not wish to proceed with the hearing concerning her FAP case. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request. Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing regarding the FAP case is hereby **DISMISSED**.

### **MA**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, individuals are eligible for Group 2 MA coverage when net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) does not exceed the applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (PIL), which is based on shelter area and fiscal group size. BEM 135 (July 2013), p 1; BEM 544 (July 2013), p 1; BEM 545 (July 2013); RFT 200 (July 2007); RFT 240 (July 2007), p 1. A fiscal group is established for each person requesting MA and budgetable income is determined for each fiscal group member. BEM 211 (July 2013); BEM 536 (July 2013). A multi-step process is utilized when determining a fiscal group member's income. BEM 536, pp. 1-5. The monthly PIL for a MA fiscal group of one (Claimant) living in Oakland County \$408 per month. BEM 211, pp.5-6; RFT 200, p 1; RFT 240, p 1. Thus, if Claimant's net monthly income is in excess of the \$408, she may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible being equal to the amount that his monthly income exceeds \$408. BEM 545, p 1.

At the hearing, the Department produced a MA budget showing how the deductible in Claimant's case was calculated. (Exhibit 1). The Department testified that in calculating

Claimant's deductible, it determined that there was total countable unearned income from RSDI in the amount of [REDACTED], which Claimant confirmed. The Department also provided an SOLQ in support of its testimony. (Exhibit 2).

Following the steps contained in BEM 536, the number of dependents (under the age of 18) living with the fiscal group member is also determined. This number is added to 2.9 to determine the prorate divisor. BEM 536, pp.1-5. In this case, the Department properly determined that because Claimant has one child living in the home, the prorate divisor is 3.9 and the spouse's prorated income is [REDACTED] BEM 536, pp. 3-5.

After further review of the MA budget and based on the foregoing information, the Department calculated Claimant's total net income of [REDACTED] in accordance with Department policy. See BEM 536, pp 1-5. Because Claimant's net income of [REDACTED] exceeds [REDACTED] applicable PIL, by [REDACTED] the Department calculated Claimant's [REDACTED] monthly deductible in accordance with Department policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's deductible of [REDACTED]

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the hearing request with respect to FAP is DISMISSED and the Department's MA decision is AFFIRMED.



**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 17, 2013

Date Mailed: December 18, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]