

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
██████████
██████████

Reg. No.: 2014-11436
Issue No(s): 2001;3002
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: January 29, 2014
County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. A representative from the Department of Human Services (Department) did not appear for the hearing, and the hearing was held in the absence of the Department.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) cases?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
2. Claimant's FAP and MA cases were closed effective November 1, 2013.
3. On November 4, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130 (July 2013), p.1. To request verification of information, the Department sends a verification checklist which tells the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130, pp. 2-3. Although the client must obtain the required verification, the Department must assist if a client needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain the verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department is to use the best available information; and if no evidence is available, the Department is to use its best judgment. BAM 130, p. 3.

With respect to MA cases, clients are given 10 calendar days to provide the verifications requested by the Department. BAM 130, p.6. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department is to extend the time limit to submit the verifications up to three times. BAM 130, p. 6. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130, p.46 The Department will send a negative action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed. BAM 130, p. 7.

With respect to FAP cases, clients are given 10 calendar days to provide the verifications requested by the Department. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130, pp.5-6. The Department sends a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 6.

The Department routinely matches recipient employment data with the Michigan Department of Treasury (MDOT) through computer data exchange processes. New Hires is a daily exchange with MDOT and the information is used to determine current income sources for active Department clients. BAM 807 (July 2013), p.1. The New Hire database is established from W-4 tax records submitted to MDOT by employers. The New Hires process matches the Social Security number (SSN) for all active recipients to the database. If a SSN match is found on Bridges and the New Hires database, a New Hires match is created if there is no earned income reflected in Bridges. BAM 807, p.1. If the employment has not been previously reported, the Department is to request verification of the employment by sending the client a New Hire Notice. BAM 807, p.1. Clients are given 10 calendar days to provide verification from the date the forms were requested. If verifications are not returned by the 10th day, the case will close for a minimum of 30 days after appropriate actions are taken in Bridges, unless the client returns the requested verifications. BAM 807, p. 2.

The Department did not appear for the hearing; however, the Hearing Summary prepared for the hearing was read into the record. According to the Hearing Summary, Claimant's FAP and MA cases closed effective November 1, 2013, based on a failure to return the New Hire Client Notice and proof of income for Claimant's new job.

At the hearing, Claimant confirmed that he received the New Hire Client Notice. Claimant stated that he was employed at Culvers restaurant for only two days and was discharged. Claimant testified that he was in the process of filing an EEOC action against his former employer based on a failure to accommodate his disability. Claimant credibly testified that he did not have his paystubs when he received the New Hire Client Notice and that he called his Department case worker to inform her that because of a pending lawsuit against his former employer, he would not be able to have the paystubs submitted and requested that the Department contact his employer to retrieve the information that was being requested. Claimant further testified that he subsequently submitted the requested information to the Department, but could not recall the exact date. Claimant presented the paystubs, EEOC filing, and letters from Social Security Administration confirming his receipt of SSI benefits in support of his testimony. (Exhibit A).

The Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the case closure indicates that the reason for the intended action with respect to the MA closure is that Claimant is not under 21, caretaker of a minor child, over age 65, blind or disabled; however, the Department has failed to satisfy its burden in establishing that Claimant is no longer disabled, in light of the documentation presented by Claimant from SSA.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP and MA cases effective November 1, 2013.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's FAP and MA decisions are REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP and MA cases effective November 1, 2013;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP and MA benefits that he was entitled to receive but did not from November 1, 2013, ongoing; and
3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 3, 2014

Date Mailed: February 4, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]