


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-009086
Issue No.: 3002
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: September 10, 2014
County: CLINTON

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, September 10, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and her boyfriend, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], HF.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant received FAP benefits.
2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by May 29, 2014.
3. On June 5, 2014, the Department closed Claimant's case.
4. On June 5, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
5. On August 5, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP. On [REDACTED], the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a New Hire Client Notice, DHS 4635, for written verification of employment that was due [REDACTED]. Department Exhibit 30-31. The Claimant failed to provide the required verification of her employment verification that was due on [REDACTED]. As a result, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice on June 5, 2014, that her FAP case would be closing on [REDACTED] due to failure to provide verification. Department Exhibit 26-29. BEM 500, 550, and 554. BAM 110, 115, 117, 220, and 600.

During the hearing, the Claimant stated that she went in to the local DHS office before her case closed on [REDACTED]. However, the Department had no record of her visiting the office on that day on the sign in log. Department Exhibit A. The New Hire Client Notice was submitted on [REDACTED], which was after the Claimant's case closed. Department Exhibit B. The Claimant is encouraged to reapply for FAP benefits.

The Department met their burden that the Claimant's FAP and MA cases should be closed because the Claimant failed to provide the required verification to determine continued FAP eligibility.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FAP case due to failure to provide verification by the due date to determining continued FAP eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.



Carmen Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **9/23/2014**

Date Mailed: **9/23/2014**

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF / tb

cc:

