


STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-007435
Issue No.: 6011
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: September 24, 2014
County: Genesee-District 6 (Clio Rd)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, September 24, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], HF.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for CDC benefits.
2. On June 3, 2014, the Department denied Claimant's application due to the Claimant's failure to cooperate with the Office of Child Support (OCS).
3. On June 3, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
4. On July 1, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Additionally, the Claimant applied for CDC benefits on [REDACTED]. Department Exhibit 3-6. On [REDACTED], the OCS found the Claimant to be in non-cooperation. On [REDACTED], the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist for written verification including compliance with OCS that were due on [REDACTED]. Department Exhibit 10-11. The Claimant failed to provide the required verification of OCS compliance that was due on [REDACTED]. As a result, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice on [REDACTED], that CDC would be denied due to failure to comply with OCS. Department Exhibit 13-15. BEM 255. BAM 105, 110, 115, 130, 220, and 600.

During the hearing, the Department Caseworker stated that OCS changed the compliance for CDC retroactive to [REDACTED]. A BRIDGES ticket was issued to give retroactive CDC benefits to [REDACTED], of [REDACTED] to Claimant. This Administrative Law Judge orders the Department to expedite the ticket.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it issued a BRIDGES ticket for CDC benefits retroactive to [REDACTED], because OCS changed the Claimant's compliance date.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Carmen Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **10/14/2014**

Date Mailed: **10/21/2014**

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF / JAF

cc:

