

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 14-002137  
Issue No.: 2001  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: August 4, 2014  
County: Macomb-District 36

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 4, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and [REDACTED], Claimant's daughter and translator. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Hearing Facilitator.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly covert Claimant and his wife's full-coverage Medical Assistance (MA) cases to Emergency Services Only (ESO)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant and his wife are refugees from Iraq.
2. Claimant and his wife had been receiving full coverage MA since 2007.
3. In January 2014, Claimant's and his wife's MA case converted to ESO coverage.
4. On April 30, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the Department's actions.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the conversion of his and his wife's MA cases from full-coverage to ESO coverage. Claimant argued that his coverage had been converted in October 2013 and his wife's in January 2014. The Department did not present any evidence showing that Claimant and his wife were notified in writing of the changes in coverage. It did, however, present an eligibility summary and an MA EDG summary showing that the change to ESO coverage for both Claimant and his wife occurred in January 2014. Therefore, with respect to MA coverage for October 2013 through December 2013, Claimant received full-coverage MA and he should have his providers bill the Department for covered services during this period. This Hearing Decision addresses the change of Claimant's and his wife's MA from full-coverage to ESO effective January 2014.

To be eligible for full MA coverage a person must be a U.S. citizen or an alien admitted to the U.S. under a specific immigration status. BEM 225 (July 2014), p. 2. An Iraqi refugee is a qualified alien who is eligible for full-coverage MA provided that all other eligibility factors are satisfied. BEM 225, pp. 3-4, 6-7.

In this case, the Department acknowledged that Claimant and his wife were Iraqi refugees and were eligible for full-MA coverage but testified that, because of a glitch in its computer system, their coverage had been improperly converted to ESO. The Department testified that, as of the hearing date, the coverage issue had not yet been remedied and both Claimant and his wife continued to be provided with only ESO coverage.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it converted Claimant's and his wife's MA coverage from full-coverage to ESO.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Activate full-coverage MA for Claimant and his wife effective January 1, 2014 ongoing; and
2. Issue supplements to Claimant's and his wife's providers for any MA benefits they were eligible to receive but did not from January 1, 2014 ongoing.



---

**Alice C. Elkin**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **8/13/2014**

Date Mailed: **8/19/2014**

ACE / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

