

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 14-001891  
Issue No.: 3001  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: June 4, 2014  
County: DHS SSPC-EAST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on June 4, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist and [REDACTED], Assistance Payment Supervisor.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 24, 2014, Claimant submitted an application for FAP benefits.
2. On April 24, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that her application had been denied on the basis that she was not an eligible student. (Exhibit 1)
3. On May 8, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In this case, Claimant submitted an application for FAP benefits on April 24, 2014. The Department testified that a FAP telephone interview was conducted with Claimant on April 24, 2014, during which Claimant reported to the Department that she was enrolled as a full time student in college. Claimant reported on her application that she was employed for 18 hours per week. (Exhibit 2). Claimant also indicated on her application that she was enrolled in a work study program, however, during the FAP interview, Claimant stated that she was not enrolled in such a program. The Department testified that based on the information provided by Claimant on her FAP application and during the FAP interview, the Department determined that Claimant was not an eligible student for FAP purposes and on April 24, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action, informing her that her FAP application was denied on the basis that Claimant is not an eligible student. (Exhibit 1).

A person who is in student status and does not meet the criteria in BEM 245 is a non-group member and is not eligible to receive FAP benefits. BEM 212 (February 2014), p. 9. A person enrolled in a post-secondary education program may be in student status and eligible for FAP assistance, provided that certain eligibility criteria are met. BEM 245 (July 2013), pp.3-5.

At the hearing, Claimant confirmed that at the time of the application and FAP interview, she was enrolled as a full time university student. Claimant stated that she is not physically or mentally unfit for employment and that she does not participate in on the job training or in a work study program. Claimant testified that she is employed part time and that she works between 14-18 hours per week. Claimant verified that she is not a single parent nor does she provide more than half of the physical care of a group member under the age of six, as she was applying for FAP benefits only for herself with a group size of one. BEM 245, pp.2-5.

Based on the above information and additional testimony provided at the hearing by both Claimant and the Department, Claimant does not meet any of the criteria found in BEM 245; and is therefore not eligible to receive FAP benefits. BEM 245, pp.2-5.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application and determined that she was ineligible based on her status as a student . Claimant was informed that should her circumstances change, she was entitled to submit a new application for FAP benefits and have her eligibility determined.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.



**Zainab Baydoun**

Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **6/6/2014**

Date Mailed: **6/6/2014**

ZB / tlf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

