

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 14-000370  
Issue No.: 1008  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: May 1, 2014  
County: MONROE

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 1, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and the Claimant's Care Provider and [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] FIS and [REDACTED], PATH.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly  close Claimant's case for:  Family Independence Program (FIP)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant  received:  FIP benefits.
2. On March 11, 2014, the Department  closed Claimant's case due to failure to participate with the PATH program.
3. On March 11, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
4. On March 21, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, the Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient. On January 27, 2014, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant could attend PATH with limitations. Department Exhibit 18, 20-21. The Department had referred the Claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. On February 20, 2014, the Claimant and her [REDACTED] received a PATH Appointment Notice, DHS-4785 for them to attend PATH on March 3, 2014. Department Exhibit 15-17. The Claimant and her [REDACTED] failed to attend PATH on March 3, 2014. On March 11, 2014, the Claimant was sent a Notice on Noncompliance (DHS-2444) requesting a triage meeting on March 20, 2014. Department Exhibit 13-14. The Claimant and her [REDACTED] attended the triage meeting and provided good cause for not attending PATH of a relative's [REDACTED]

They were told verbally and attended the next PATH session on March 24, 2014. However, they came back late from lunch and was not readmitted. Subsequently, they were given good cause because her purse was stolen from the car and they provided a police report. The Department never referred the Claimant and her [REDACTED] back to PATH after finding good cause as is required by policy.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with the PATH program is not reasonable. The Department has not established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PATH program.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department  did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to re-refer the Claimant and her Husband back to PATH after finding good cause.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FIP by sending a new PATH Appointment Notice, DHS-4785 for the Claimant and her Husband to attend PATH and remove the PATH non-compliance from the BRIDGES system because the Department found good cause.
2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

*Carmen H. Fahie*

---

Carmen Fahie  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/9/14

Date Mailed: 5/9/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong

conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

cc:

