# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-016268

Issue No.: 3001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 18, 2014

County: JACKSON

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following the Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 18, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Claimant included Hearing Representative (AHR) and her Aunt, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager,

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. On October 9, 2014, the Department denied the Claimant's application due to her failure to submit verification of a closed bank account and verification and assets.
- 3. On October 9, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant its decision.
- 4. On November 12, 2014, the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In this case, the Claimant's AHR could not speak to whether or not the Claimant submitted the required documentation. This is because the Claimant did not appoint her AHR until after the Department notified the Claimant that her case would be denied. Therefore, the uncontested testimony is that the Claimant did not submit the required verification until November 10<sup>th</sup> or 13<sup>th</sup> of 2014; long after her case closed.

Additionally, Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2014) p. 2, provides that the Department worker tell the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using either a DHS-3503 Verification Checklist, or for MA determinations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice to request verification. In this case, the Department did exactly that. The uncontested testimony is that the Claimant failed to submit a statement indicating that her account was closed, nor did she submit verification of assets before her case closed.

The Claimant was informed that the Department worker is required to assist the Claimant in obtaining verification if the Claimant needs and requests assistance. In this case, it is not contested that the Claimant did not request assistance, even after receiving that DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that the proper verification had not been received and that if the Claimant submitted such proper verification within 30 days of her application date that the eligibility would be determined from the date she had applied. The notice further informed the Claimant that if she provided verification after 30 days but prior to 60 days after the application date and eligibility would be determined from the date she provided her verification.

Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2014) p. 5 provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. It instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the Claimant indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the Claimant has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed and the Claimant had made no reasonable effort to provide the verification. Furthermore, it is not contested that the Claimant did not seek assistance in obtaining the verifications. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department has met its burden of establishing that it was acting in accordance with policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's FAP application for failure to submit the required verification.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to deny the Claimant's FAP application.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Susanne E. Harris

Susanne E Hanis

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/20/2014

Date Mailed: 12/20/2014

SEH/hj

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

