STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-014552 3003 November 20, 2014 WAYNE- 35 (REDFORD)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert Chavez		
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 20, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included AHR Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included AHR.		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? (CDC)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care	
Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Direct Support Services	
(DSS)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	State SSI Payr	nents (SSP)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant applied for received: FIP FAP MA AMP Separations.	SDA 🗌 CDC	□ DSS □ SSP
2. On September 1, 2014, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐	closed Claimant's	s case

due to failure to complete a redetermination.

- 3. On August 4, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On October 21, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, failure to complete a redetermination can result in case closure. BAM 210.

Claimant testified that they had completed and turned in the redetermination paperwork.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. Gardiner v Courtright, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); Dep't of Community Health v Risch, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., Caldwell v Fox, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

Claimant testified credibly that the paperwork had been turned in; Claimant adequately and personally described the circumstances in turning in the paperwork, the procedures, and other specific descriptors. As such, the undersigned finds Claimant credible.

In addition, Claimant's normal caseworker was out on medical leave during the time period in question, and there was confusion over where Claimant's paperwork was going; these factors lend credence to the theory that Claimant's paperwork was mishandled.

As such, the undersigned holds that Claimant did turn in the redetermination paperwork, and Claimant's case was closed in error.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department
 □ acted in accordance with Department policy when it □ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case. □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it
DECISION AND ORDER
Accordingly, the Department's decision is
☐ AFFIRMED. ☑ REVERSED.
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Reopen Claimant's FAP case retroactive to the date of negative action; the Department may begin the redetermination process again, if necessary.
Robert Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 12/1/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

Date Mailed: 12/1/2014

RJC / tm

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

