STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-014244 3002 November 17, 2014 WAYNE-19 (INKSTER)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert Chavez				
HEARING DECISION				
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included AHR Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included APW, and FIM.				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (SDA)? opment and Care		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ received: ☐FIP ☑FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC benefits.				

Claimant was required to submit requested verification by September 22, 2014.

2.

3	 On September 9, 2014, the Department denied Claimant's application. closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits. 		
4	4. On September 30, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.		
5	5. On October 9, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.			
Additionally, Claimant's FAP benefits were reduced on application approval for failing to provide evidence of housing expenses.			
re	Claimant's FAP application was filed by an authorized representative; however, this representative was not given or sent a copy of the verification checklist that asked for verification of Claimant's housing expenses.		

Per policy in BAM 110, the authorized representative assumes all responsibilities of the client. By not sending a copy of the verification request to Claimant's authorized representative, Claimant's representative was unable to assume all responsibilities of the client timely. As such, the Department was in error when it approved Claimant's FAP benefits for a lower amount by disqualifying Claimant's housing expenses for not returning verification of the expense.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP budget and failed to include a housing expense.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
☐ AFFIRMED.☒ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to
	O BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS AS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS

1. Re-process Claimant's September 9, 2014 FAP application, and send verification requests to Claimant's authorized representative; Claimant's benefit levels are to be recalculated retroactive to the date of application.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/1/2014

Date Mailed: 12/1/2014

RJC / tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

