STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-013024

Issue No.: 4002 Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 22, 2014
County: WAYNE-DISTRICT 76

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 22, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) due to failing to provide information to the Department?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for SDA on or about
- 2. The Department did not issue a Verification Checklist (VCL) requesting information from Claimant.
- 3. The Department denied Claimant's SDA application on failure to provide information.
- 4. Claimant did not receive the written denial of his SDA application.
- 5. Claimant requested a hearing on action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

In the present case, Claimant applied for SDA on or about Department representative at the hearing testified credibly that the Department did not issue a VCL to Claimant requesting information. However, in spite of not issuing a VCL, the Department denied Claimant's SDA application on Claimant's failure to provide information.

Per BAM 130 (4/2014), p. 1, verification is usually required at application. To obtain verification, the Department is to use the VCL. BAM 130, p. 3 Since the Department did not properly follow its procedure in issuing a VCL so that Claimant knew what information was required of him, the Department did not properly deny Claimant's application due to Claimant's failure to provide information.

It is noted that Claimant testified credibly that he did not receive the written denial of his SDA application. Claimant also testified that he filed a change of address shortly after the denial was issued, which information supports Claimant's contention that he did not receive the denial. Since it is found that Claimant did not receive the written denial, his hearing request is found to be timely. See BAM 600 (3/2014), p. 1: "The client must receive a written notice of all case actions affecting eligibility or amount of benefits" and BAM 600, p. 6: "The client or AHR has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing."

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's SDA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS **DECISION AND ORDER:**

Susa C. Bruke

1. Reinstate and reprocess Claimant's SDA application of or about



- 2. Notify Claimant in writing of the Department's determination regarding SDA.
- 3. Issue SDA supplements for any payment Claimant was entitled to receive.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/23/2014

Date Mailed: 12/23/2014

SCB / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS MAY order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS MAY grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

