# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-012301 Issue No.: 4009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 6, 2014 County: KALAMAZOO

County:

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and a witness. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for SDA on June 27, 2014.
- The Medical Review Team denied the application on September 5, 2014.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing September 16, 2014, regarding the SDA denial.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on November 6, 2014.
- 5. Claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 300 pounds.
- 6. Claimant is years of age.

- 7. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as diabetes, GERD, asthma, back pain, knee pain, carpal tunnel syndrome, PTSD and depression.
- 8. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, joint swelling, shortness of breath, and insomnia.
- 9. Claimant completed high school and some college.
- 10. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 11. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in 2010, as a newspaper stuffer. Claimant previously worked as a packager.
- 12. Claimant lives alone.
- 13. Claimant testified that she cannot perform household chores.
- 14. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
  - a. Wellbutrin
  - b. Effexor
  - c. Protonix
  - d. Toporol
  - e. lodine
- 15. Claimant testified to experiencing pain, at a high level of 10, on an everyday basis with some pain, always present, at a low level of 5.
- 16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:

i. Sitting: 20-25 minutesii. Standing: 5 minutes

iii. Walking: 1 block

iv. Bend/stoop: some difficulty

v. Lifting: 5 pounds

vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations

- 17. An x-ray of Claimant's lumbar spine from showed the following under IMPRESSION: "Mild degenerative changes, stable."
- 18. An x-ray of Claimant's cervical spine from showed the following under IMPRESSION: "Mild cervical spondylosis C5-6."
- 19. An x-ray of Claimant's left shoulder from showed the following under IMPRESSION: "Mild osteoarthritis acromioclavicular joint."

- 20. Claimant's treating physician provided a statement dated reads as follows: "This letter is in regards to my patient letter is in reasonable that she would have difficulty maintaining work due to her depressive disease."
- 21. In a consultative physical examination report dated physician stated the following under MEDICAL SOURCE STATEMENT: "The Claimant should be able to work in a seated or standing position with mild limitations secondary to knee crepitance and tenderness to palpation. Use of bilateral extremities for lifting, carrying, pushing and pulling is decreased secondary to knee crepitance and tenderness to palpation. Bilateral handgrip is normal. The Claimant should be able to use both hands for fine manipulation. There is mild limitation in climbing stairs, ladders, or scaffolding secondary to knee pain and crepitus to palpation."
- 22. Claimant's therapist, which reads as follows: has been diagnosed with Major Depressive Disorder and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Due to above stated diagnoses, she has difficulty being in public and she isolates herself. anxiety and depression make it difficult for her to complete daily tasks."

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

# "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 1.04 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a newspaper stuffer and packager. Working as a packager, as described by Claimant at hearing, would be considered medium work. The Claimant's impairments would prevent her from doing past relevant work. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through step 5.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine: if the Claimant's impairment(s) prevent the Claimant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Claimant's:

- 1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Claimant could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have

the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work:** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work:** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Medium work:** Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

**Heavy work:** Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Claimant makes it to the final step of the analysis, the Claimant has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1984). Moving forward the burden of proof rests with the state to prove by substantial evidence that the Claimant has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity.

After careful review of the medical evidence presented and Claimant's statements, and considering the Claimant in the most restrictive circumstances this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant would be able to perform at least work on the sedentary exertional level.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant is capable of the requisite sitting, standing and walking for a sedentary job. The Claimant is a younger individual at age 20 CFR 416.963. Claimant's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 contains specific profiles for determining disability based on residual functional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.18, the Claimant is not disabled for the purposes of SDA. Claimant's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry is not supported by substantial evidence. Claimant failed to present sufficient evidence that she has an ongoing psychological impairment that is substantially limiting.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of SDA eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby AFFIRMED.

Am Mich to Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/3/2014

Date Mailed: 12/3/2014

AM/jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

