STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-002838

Issue No.: 2009 Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 3, 2014

County: Livingston

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on September 3, 2014, from Howell, Michigan. Claimant, represented by his case manager for participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

During the hearing, Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to submit additional medical evidence. This new evidence is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA) benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 30, 2013, Claimant filed an application for MA/Retro-MA benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On February 6, 2014, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA/Retro-MA.
- On February 25, 2014, the Department sent Claimant notice that his application for MA/Retro-MA had been denied.
- 4. On May 23, 2014, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.

- 5. Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- 6. Claimant is a 36 year old man whose birthday is is 5'10" tall and weighs 215 lbs.
- 7. Claimant stopped using drugs in November, 2013, and drinking in December, 2013. He smokes a package of cigarettes a day.
- 8. Claimant does not have a driver's license due to a DUI conviction.
- 9. Claimant has a high school equivalent education and lives in a group home.
- 10. Claimant last tried working in May, 2013.
- 11. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of bipolar disorder, attention hyperactivity disorder, anxiety, posttraumatic stress disorder, anti-social personality disorder, and acute diverticulitis.
- 12. Claimant's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of twelve months or longer.
- 13. Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning his impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who is so impaired as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under MA-P. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

. . . the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience are reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

According to the medical information, Claimant was admitted to the hospital in January, 2014, for suicidality. He has a history of multiple past psychiatric hospitalizations. His first hospitalization occurred at the age of 12-13 for severe self-injurious behavior and agitation. Claimant described a history of over 10 suicide attempts in his life. Discharge diagnosis: Axis I: Schizoaffective disorder, bipolar type; attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; polysubstance dependence; Axis II: Deferred; Axis III: History of anal fissure; suspected acute diverticulitis; Axis IV: Severe; Axis V: GAF on admission GAF on discharge

As of May, 2014, according to notes, Claimant's GAF was at a with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, unspecified drug-induced mental disorder (early full remission) (active as of the control of the contr

Claimant testified he lives in a group home. His day consists of attending AA meetings. Claimant's mental health case worker testified that Claimant is unable to live on his own at this time. The case worker explained Claimant is quickly upset by things and is learning coping skills.

In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant may be considered presently disabled at the third step. Claimant appears to meet listing 12.04 or its equivalent. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the assessment. Claimant's testimony and the medical documentation support the finding that Claimant meets the requirements of a listing.

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant disabled for purposes of the MA benefit program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Department shall process Claimant's December 30, 2013, MA/Retro-MA application, and shall award him all the benefits he may be entitled to receive, as long as he meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The Department shall review Claimant's medical condition for improvement in December, 2015, unless his Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The Department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding his continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

Vicki Armstrong

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/1/2014

Date Mailed: 12/1/2014

VLA/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

