STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: 3002 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

14-014565

November 20, 2014 WAYNE-DISTRICT 35

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 20, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included . Hearings Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case due to failure to verify information?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant informed the Department that she was working at a new job and submitted a pay stub from the new employer on her Semi-Annual Contact Report signed
- , the Department issued a Verification Checklist (VCL) 2. On instructing Claimant to provide "Missing check stubs" by
- Claimant resubmitted the pay stub that she provided to the Department with the 3. Semi-Annual Contact Report.

Page 2 of 4 14-014565 SCB

- 4. The Department issued a Notice of Case Actin on claimant that her FAP case closed due to "Verification of Missing check stubs (BEM 501) was not returned..."
- 5. Claimant requested a hearing on action, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Department is required to use the VCL to "tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date." BAM 130 (7/2014), p. 3

"Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility." BAM 105 (4/2014), p. 6

In the present case, the Department issued a VCL requesting information from Claimant by **Sector**. The Department simply inserted in the VCL the words, "Missing check stubs." Claimant testified credibly that she thought the Department had not received the pay stub she initially submitted for her new job, so she resubmitted the same pay stub. The Department representative at the hearing stated that a worker has the ability to clarify what is required of the client on the VCL. It is not clear from the VCL what "Missing check stubs" means, and it is understandable why Claimant resubmitted the original pay stub.

Based on the above discussion, it is not concluded that Claimant failed to verify requested information or failed to cooperate, as Claimant submitted verification to the best of her understanding.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case, effective
- 2. Issue FAP supplements for any missed payment, in accordance with Department policy.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/24/2014

Date Mailed: 11/24/2014

SCB / hw

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

