STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-013557 Issue Nos.: 2001, 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 6, 2014

County: Wayne (55-Hamtramck)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 6, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan.

Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and deny Claimant's spouse Medical Assistance (MA) and instead enrolling him in emergency only coverage?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On August 1, 2014, Claimant's spouse, _____, applied for MA coverage. 1.
- 2. On August 25, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a wage match client notice requesting information concerning Claimant's son.
- On September 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action 3. informing her that her FAP benefits would be reduced.
- 4. On September 29, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the reduction in her FAP benefits and the denial of her spouse's MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

<u>FAP</u>

At the hearing, it was explained to Claimant that her FAP benefits had decreased due to the addition of her son's income. Claimant testified that she understood why her FAP benefits had been reduced and the request for a hearing on this issue is DISMISSED.

MA

Claimant's spouse, is presently receiving medical for emergency services only because he has not been in the United States for five years or more. BEM 225 (July 2014).
The Department further testified that Claimant's spouse, will pass the five-year bar this fall, and will be eligible for full MA coverage at that time.
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department
 acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased Claimant's FAP benefits and denied Claimant's spouse MA coverage. did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
△ AFFIRMED.☐ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN In the control of the cont	PART with respect to

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/17/2014

and the second s

Date Mailed: 11/17/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

