STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	
	Docket No. 14-012000 MHP	
Appel	lant	
	DECISION AND ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.		
represented	notice, a hearing was held on by her father . Inquiry Dispute Appeals Coordinator, represented the Medicaid Health Plan (MHP), . Medical Director appeared as a witness for the	
ISSUE		
Did the MHP properly deny the Appellant's request for metatarsal arch support inserts?		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1.	The Appellant is a year-old (DOB Medicaid beneficiary. (Exhibit A, pp. 3, 4 and testimony).	
2.	On the MHP received a request from for a L3020 FT INSRT REMV MOLD LNGTUDNL SUPP EA LT & RT (metatarsal arch support inserts) for the Appellant. (Exhibit A, pp. 3-5 and testimony).	
3.	on the MHP sent the Appellant, her doctor, and her provider notice that the request for metatarsal arch support inserts for the Appellant was denied because it is not a covered benefit under the MHP. The notice states that the request was denied based on MDCH Medicaid Provider Manual, Medical Supplier,	

Docket No. 14-012000 MHP Decision and Order

Section 2.24 Orthopedic Footwear, Standards of Coverage, which states the requested inserts are not a covered benefit for the listed diagnosis of flat foot. (Exhibit A, pp. 4, 8-12 and testimony).

- 4. The policy in the Michigan Department of Community Health Medicaid Provider Manual says shoes and inserts are noncovered for the conditions of: Pes Planus or Talipes Planus (flat foot), Adductus metatarsus, Calcaneus Valgus, or Hallux Valgus. (Exhibit A, p. 6).
- 5. On the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received the Request for Hearing submitted on the Appellant's behalf. (Exhibit A, p. 2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

The Respondent is one of those MHPs.

The covered services that the Contractor has available for enrollees must include, at a minimum, the covered services listed below. The Contractor may limit services to those which are medically necessary and appropriate, and which conform to professionally accepted standards of care but may not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of the diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of an enrollee. In general, the Contractor is responsible for covered services related to the following:

- The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments
- The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development
- The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity

The Contractor must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid provider manuals and publications for coverages and limitations. If new services are added to the Michigan Medicaid Program, or if services are expanded, eliminated, or otherwise changed, the Contractor must implement the changes consistent with State direction in accordance with the provisions of Contract Section 2.024.

Docket No. 14-012000 MHP Decision and Order

Although the Contractor must provide the full range of covered services listed below they may choose to provide services over and above those specified.

The covered services provided to enrollees under this Contract include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Ambulance and other emergency medical transportation
- Blood lead testing in accordance with Medicaid Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) policy
- Certified nurse midwife services
- Certified pediatric and family nurse practitioner services
- Chiropractic services
- Diagnostic lab, x-ray and other imaging services
- Durable medical equipment (DME) and supplies
- Emergency services
- End Stage Renal Disease services
- Family planning services (e.g., examination, sterilization procedures, limited infertility screening, and diagnosis)
- Health education
- Hearing and speech services
- Hearing aids (only for enrollees under 21 years of age)
- Home Health services
- Hospice services (if requested by the enrollee)
- Immunizations
- Inpatient and outpatient hospital services
- Intermittent or short-term restorative or rehabilitative services (in a nursing facility), up to 45 days
- Restorative or rehabilitative services (in a place of service other than a nursing facility)
- Medically necessary weight reduction services
- Mental health care maximum of 20 outpatient visits per calendar year in accordance with Medicaid policy as stated in the Medicaid Provider Manual, Mental Health/Substance Abuse Chapter, Beneficiary Eligibility Section
- Out-of-state services authorized by the Contractor
- Outreach for included services, especially pregnancy-related and Well child care
- Parenting and birthing classes
- Pharmacy services
- Podiatry services

Docket No. 14-012000 MHP Decision and Order

- Practitioners' services (such as those provided by physicians, optometrists and dentists enrolled as a Medicaid Provider Type 10)
- Prosthetics and orthotics
- Tobacco cessation treatment including pharmaceutical and behavioral support
- Therapies (speech, language, physical, occupational) excluding services provided to persons with development disabilities which are billed through Community Mental Health Services Program (CMHSP) providers or Intermediate School Districts.
- Transplant services
- Transportation for medically necessary covered services
- Treatment for sexually transmitted disease (STD)
- Vision services
- Well child/EPSDT for persons under age 21 [Article 1, 1.022 Work and Deliverables, at §1.022 E (1) contract, 12/5/2013, pp. 22-23].

* * *

AA. Utilization Management

- (1) The major components of the Contractor's utilization management (UM) program must encompass, at a minimum, the following:
 - a) Written policies with review decision criteria and procedures that conform to managed health care industry standards and processes.
 - b) A formal utilization review committee directed by the Contractor's medical director to oversee the utilization review process.
 - c) Sufficient resources to regularly review the effectiveness of the utilization review process and to make changes to the process as needed.
 - d) An annual review and reporting of utilization review activities and outcomes/interventions from the review.
 - e) The UM activities of the Contractor must be integrated with the Contractor's QAPI program.
- (2) Prior Approval Policy and Procedure

The Contractor must establish and use a written prior approval policy and procedure for UM purposes. The Contractor may not use such policies and procedures to avoid providing medically necessary services within the coverages established under the Contract. The policy must ensure that the review criteria for authorization decisions are applied consistently and require that the reviewer consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. The policy must also require that UM decisions be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise regarding the service under review. [Contract, *supra*, p. 55].

Docket No. 14-012000 MHP Decision and Order

As stated in the Department-MHP contract language above, a MHP, "must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid Provider Manuals and publications for coverages and limitations." The pertinent sections of the Michigan Medicaid Provider Manual are as follows:

2.24 ORTHOPEDIC FOOTWEAR

Definition

Orthopedic footwear may include, but are not limited to, orthopedic shoes, surgical boots, removable inserts, Thomas heels, and lifts.

Standards of Coverage

Orthopedic shoes and inserts may be covered if any of the following applies:

- Required to accommodate a leg length discrepancy of ¼ inch or greater or a size discrepancy between both feet of one size or greater.
- Required to accommodate needs related to a partial foot prosthesis, clubfoot, or plantar fascitis.
- Required to accommodate a brace (extra depth only are covered).

Surgical Boots or Shoes may be covered to facilitate healing following foot surgery, trauma or a fracture.

Noncovered Items

Shoes and inserts are noncovered for the conditions of:

- Pes Planus or Talipes Planus (flat foot)
- Adductus metatarsus
- Calcaneus Valgus
- Hallux Valgus

Standard shoes are also noncovered. [Medicaid Provider Manual, Medical Supplier, Section 2.24, July 1, 2014, p. 50].

Docket No. 14-012000 MHP Decision and Order

	etatarsal arch s <u>upport ins</u> erts requested for
the Appellant are not a covered service thro	ough the MPH. stated the inserts
were being requested based on a diagnosi	is of <u>flat foot, a</u> nd Medicaid does not cover
such inserts based on a diagnosis of flat f	oot. cited Section 2.24 from the
Medicaid Provider Manual which clearly sta	ites the requested inserts are not a covered
benefit for the listed diagnosis of flat foot.	r said that back in they were
approving all items of durable medical equ	ipment under , even though they were
not covered under Medicaid. They are no long to covered by Medicaid.	onger able to approve such items if they are

The Appellant's father testified he has been taking his daughter, the Appellant, to a foot specialist. In serts were prescribed by the doctor and paid for them. Appellant's father said the Appellant's leg has grown and she needs new inserts because her leg got larger. He said her foot is tilted and goes sideways and the Appellant's doctor said the inserts will help the Appellant's condition. He wants Medicaid to approve payment for the inserts.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that that the MHP properly denied the Appellant's request for metatarsal arch support inserts.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Medicaid Health Plan's decision is AFFIRMED.

William D. Bond
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director

William D Bond

Michigan Department of Community Health

Date Signed: __

Date Mailed: __

WDB/db

cc:

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.