STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 14-003067

 Issue No.:
 1006, 3006

 Case No.:
 Image: Comparison of the second secon

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over-issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 23, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included RS

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive a **Sector** over-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits and a **Sector** over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits from September 1, 2012 to January 31, 2013?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of Family Independence Program and Food Assistance Program benefits from the Department.
- 2. September 1, 2012 to January 31, 2013 has been properly determined as the overissuance period.
- Due to an agency error of including Respondent's children in his benefit group, he received a source of Family Independence Program benefits and a source of Food Assistance Program benefits from September 1, 2012 to January 31, 2013.
- 4. On May 15, 2014, Respondent was sent a Notice of Over Issuance (DHS-4358) for both Family Independence Program and Food Assistance Program benefits.
- 5. On May 28, 2014, Respondent submitted a hearing request.

6. On June 4, 2014, the Department requested this Debt Establishment hearing on behalf of Respondent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260; MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 725 Collection Actions states that when the client group or CDC provider receives more benefits than entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the over-issuance. Additionally, anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the over-issuance occurred is responsible for repayment of the over-issuance.

DHS requests a debt collection hearing when the grantee of an inactive program requests a hearing after receiving the DHS-4358B, Agency and Client Error Information and Repayment Agreement. Active recipients are afforded their hearing rights automatically, but DHS must request hearings when the program is inactive.

The Department submitted an Assistance Application (DHS-1171) dated August 13, 2012 that Respondent submitted to the Department prior to the alleged over-issuance period. This application is sufficient to establish that Respondent was provided the recoupment responsibilities of receiving assistance.

Over-issuance Period

Agency Error

BAM 705 Agency Error Over-Issuances, states that the over-issuance period begins the first month (or pay period for CDC) benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy or 12 months before the date the over-issuance was referred to the RS, whichever 12 month period is later.

The over-issuance period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

Page 3 of 4 14-003067 GFH

The error which caused this over-issuance occurred on August 13, 2012 when Respondent submitted his application. Under these circumstances the over-issuance period began with the first benefits Respondent received.

Over-issuance Amount

BAM 705 Agency Error Over-Issuances and BAM 715 Client/CDC Provider Error Over-Issuances, states the over-issuance amount is the benefit amount the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. The Department presented benefit summaries and over-issuance budgets showing that Respondent received a \$2,388 over-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits and a \$2,250 over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits during the over-issuance period.

A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department has established that Respondent received a sagency error over-issuance of Family Independence Program benefits and a sagency error over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits. The Department may pursue collection of these over-issuances in accordance with Department policy.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.

Bay J. Hund

Gary Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 6, 2014

Date Mailed: October 6, 2014

GFH/hj

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
 outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

