# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-012120 Issue No.: 2001, 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

October 23,2014 DHS SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 23, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA), Medicare Cost Saving Plan benefits, and the Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Savings Plan recipient.
- 2. On July 8, 2014, the Department closed the Claimant's Medicare Cost Savings Plan benefits.
- 3. On August 12, 2014, the Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 4. On August 26, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant a notice that Medical Assistance (MA) would be closed.
- 5. On September 10, 2014, the Department approved the Claimant for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 6. On September 12, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Claimant was an ongoing Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Savings Program recipient when she applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. The Department sent the Claimant notice of the closure of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits and Medicare Cost Savings Program benefits. On August 26, 2014, the Department approved the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application.

The production of evidence to support the department's position is clearly required under BAM 600 as well as general case law (see e.g., Kar v Hogan, 399 Mich 529; 251 NW2d 77 [1976]). In McKinstry v Valley Obstetrics-Gynecology Clinic, PC, 428 Mich167; 405 NW2d 88 (1987), the Michigan Supreme Court addressed the issue of burden of proof, stating in part:

The term "burden of proof" encompasses two separate meanings. [citation omitted.] One of these meanings is the burden of persuasion or the risk of nonpersuasion. The other is the risk of going forward or the risk of nonproduction. The burden of producing evidence on an issue means the liability to an adverse ruling (generally a finding or a directed verdict) if evidence on the issue has not been produced. It is usually on the party who has pleaded the existence of the fact, but..., the burden may shift to the adversary when the pleader has discharged [its] initial duty. The burden of producing evidence is a critical mechanism[.]

The burden of persuasion becomes a crucial factor only if the parties have sustained their burdens of producing evidence and only when all of the evidence has been introduced.

McKinstry, 428 Mich at 93-94, quoting McCormick, Evidence (3d ed), Sec. 336, p. 946.

The Department's representative testified that some of the actions taken by the Department were actions of the local county office that they could not be address by the SSPC office.

However, there is no basis in policy for requiring the Claimant to submit her request for a hearing to a particular office, and the Department is required to respond to all requests for hearings wherever they are received.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department failed to present sufficient evidence to support a finding that the Department properly closed Medical Assistance (MA), Medicare Cost Savings Program benefits, or that the Department properly determined the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined the Claimant's eligibility for the Medical Assistance (MA), Medicare Cost Savings Program, and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare Cost Savings Program benefits as of July 1, 2014.
- 2. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of August 12, 2014.
- 3. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determinations.

4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/24/2014

Date Mailed: 10/24/2014

KS/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

