# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-012077

Issue No.: 1008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 21,2014
County: Macomb-District 36

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 21, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 5, 2014, the Claimant applied for cash assistance.
- 2. On June 11, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant of the requirement to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) within 30 days.
- 3. On July 2, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied her application for cash assistance.
- 4. On September 16, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the denial of her application for cash assistance.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

SDA is a cash program for individuals who are not eligible for FIP and are disabled or the caretaker of a disabled person. An SDA eligibility determination group (EDG) consists of either a single adult or adult and spouses living together. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 214 (April 1, 2014), p 1.

All work eligible individuals and non-work eligible individuals must complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) to receive Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Only Extended Family Independence Program (EFIP) benefit groups are excused from the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST). Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 228 (July 1, 2013), p 3.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

 Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (July 1, 2013), pp 2-3.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/ or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. BEM 233A, pp 3-4.

On June 5, 2014, the Claimant applied for cash assistance. As the Caretaker of minor children the Clamant was referred to the Family Independence Program (FIP) due to her potential eligibility for this program. As a benefit group requesting cash assistance that fits the criteria for the Family Independence Program (FIP), the Claimant was required to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) survey, regardless of whether or not she would be considered a work eligible individual. When the Claimant failed to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) within 30 days, the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied her cash assistance application for failing to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST).

The Claimant argued that she is disabled and is unable to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to present sufficient evidence that would support a finding that there were circumstances beyond her control that prevented her from completing the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) within 30 days.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's application for cash assistance.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/28/2014

Date Mailed: 10/28/2014

KS/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

