STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-012047 Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 16, 2014

County: Macomb (12-Mt Clemens)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 28, 2014, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. On June 26, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing him that he had been approved for \$15 a month in FAP benefits.
- 3. On September 16, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the amount of his FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

At the hearing, the Department testified that all of Claimant's income was unearned consisting of \$728 from the Social Security Administration (SSA) for RSDI, a \$13 payment per month for SSI, and a \$42 supplement every three months (\$14 per month) equaling \$755 per month. After reviewing this budget with Claimant, Claimant agreed with these figures.

It should be noted that the Department is subtracting \$9 per month for repayment of an overissuance of benefits and Claimant's actual benefits are, therefore, reduced to \$6 per month. The Department testified that, because the recoupment reduced Claimant's benefits to a figure below \$10 per month, Claimant would not receive the benefits per Department policy. BEM 556 states that Claimant would not receive benefits under \$10 per month for a prorated initial benefit.

In the instant case, the \$6 benefit which remains after the Department's recoupment is not a prorated or initial benefit. Therefore, the Department is erroneously withholding the \$6 that remain after recoupment.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

	acted in accordance with Department policy when it .
\boxtimes	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to provide Claimant
	with the FAP benefits remaining after recoupment.
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.	
🔀 REVERSED.	
AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Restore Claimant's FAP benefits, calculated after recoupment, regardless of the amount of the remaining benefit, and supplement for benefits that should have been paid according to Department policy.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/22/2014

Date Mailed: 10/22/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

