STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-011927 1008 October 15, 2014 Wayne (15-Greydale)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Ber	nnane	
HEARING DECI	<u>SION</u>	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, the Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99 notice, a telephone hearing was held on Octob Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant Department of Human Services (Department) incl	and 400.37; 7 CF 9.33; and 45 CFR per 15, 2014, fror imant. Participar	R 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due m Detroit, Michigan.
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's for:	application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	<u> </u>	
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ received:	SDA 🗌 CDC	□ DSS □ SSP
 On August 1, 2014, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application due to Claimant's failure to attend PATH orie] closed Claimant's	s case

- 3. On August 15, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On September 15, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she had notified the Department on July 26, 2014, that she had a job interview that conflicted with PATH orientation. The Department acknowledged Claimant's notification of a job interview conflicting with PATH orientation and testified that it did not reschedule Claimant's PATH orientation because there were "no more dates to give her."

In the instant case, Claimant was diligent in already searching for work and had no control over the scheduling of the aforementioned job interview. BEM 233A (July 2013).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant had good cause as defined by the Department's policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

\times	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to find good cause for
	Claimant missing the PATH orientation after having notified the Department prior to
	the PATH orientation of a job interview she had that conflicted with the date of the
	PATH orientation
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
□ AFFIRMED.⋈ REVERSED.□ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to.	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to
ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT	O BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS YS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS

1. Reregister and reprocess Claimant's July 11, 2014, application for FIP back to July 11, 2014, and supplement for any missed benefits.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/23/2014

Date Mailed: 10/23/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

