STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-011881 Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 15,2014
County: Kent-District 1

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 15, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ,

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. On June 16, 2014, the Claimant requested that the Department close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits case.
- 3. On August 20, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant notification that her Food Assistance Program (FAP) would be closed effective June 16, 2014.
- 4. On September 11, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When a recipient is no longer eligible or requests case closure, the Department will do all of the following:

- Enter all appropriate information, including verification sources, in Bridges to document ineligibility, or the client's request that the program(s) be closed.
- Run EDBC in Bridges and certify the eligibility results.
- Make appropriate referrals for other programs or services.
 Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 220 (October 1, 2014), p 18.

In this case, the Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient when she requested that the Department close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit on June 16, 2014, because she was concerned with identity theft. On August 20, 2014, the Department sent her notice of the closure.

The Claimant argued that she should have received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from June 16, 2014, through August 20, 2014.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant was no longer eligible to receive Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from the date she requested closure of her benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.**

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/16/2014

Date Mailed: 10/16/2014

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

