STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 14-010667 2001

October 02,2014 Genesee-District 2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 2, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and her authorized hearing representative methods. A paralegal with Legal Services of Eastern Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly place the Claimant in the most beneficial category of Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On July 10, 2014, the Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA).
- 2. The Claimant receives monthly earned income from employment in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 3. The Claimant receives monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 4. On August 4, 2014, the Department approved the Claimant for Medical Assistance (MA) with a **\$100** monthly deductible.
- 5. On August 18, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the category of Medical Assistance (MA) that she was approved for.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility is determined on a calendar month basis. Unless policy specifies otherwise, circumstances that existed, or are expected to exist, during the calendar month being tested are used to determine eligibility for that month. When determining eligibility for a future month, the Department will assume circumstances as of the processing date will continue unchanged unless there is information that indicates otherwise. Persons may qualify under more than one Medical Assistance (MA) category. Federal law gives them the right to the most beneficial category. The most beneficial category is the one that results in eligibility or the least amount of excess income. The Department must consider all the Medical Assistance (MA) category options in order for the client's right of choice to be meaningful. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 105 (October 1, 2014), p 2.

The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) on July 10, 2014, and on August 18, 2014, the Department approved the Claimant for Medical Assistance (MA) with a deductible. The Department's representative testified, but did not provide a written budget, that the Claimant's gross monthly income of deceded the limit for Medical Assistance (MA) under the AD-Care Category, and that she has been placed in the most beneficial category of Medical Assistance (MA).

The Claimant's representative argued that the Department failed to consider the Claimant for Medical Assistance (MA) under the Freedom to Work category.

The Claimant is entitled to be considered for all categories of Medical Assistance (MA) and to be placed in the most beneficial category. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department failed to present sufficient evidence to support a finding that the Claimant was considered for all categories of Medical Assistance (MA), or that she was placed in the most beneficial category.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility as of August 1, 2014.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) as of August 1, 2014.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

vin Scully

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/9/2014

Date Mailed: 10/9/2014

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

