STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-009869 Issue No.: 1001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 23, 2014
County: WAYNE (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 19, 2014, Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
- 2. On June 28, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her application for FIP benefits had been denied because she exceeded the allowable income limit.
- 3. On July 31, 2014, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, all countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibility for program benefits. BEM 500 (January 2014), pp. 1 – 4. On June 19, 2014, Claimant applied for FIP benefits. Claimant had previously completed an application with the Department for other benefits in which she listed that she received \$480.00 in child support. However, on the June 19, 2014 application, Claimant stated she had been laid off and that her last day of work was May 25, 2014. On June 28, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her application for FIP benefits had been denied because the group's countable income exceeded the limits for the program

Department policy requires that verifications be sent when information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. BAM 130 (April 2014), p. 1. Accordingly, the Department should have sent Claimant a verification form to determine the correct information to use when calculating her eligibility for FIP benefits. The Department testified that it showed no evidence that any verification was sent to Claimant before the June 28, 2014 Notice of Case Action was sent. Additionally, the Department stated that it determined Claimant's monthly earned income amount to be \$710.82. However, the Department failed to present a budget and was unable to articulate how it arrived at this amount. Therefore, it is found that the Department failed to establish that it properly denied Claimant's June 19, 2014 application for FIP benefits

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with policy when it denied Claimant's June 19, 2014 application for FIP benefits due to her income exceeding allowable limits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and reprocess Claimant's June 19, 2014 application for FIP benefits;

- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant arising from her June 19, 2014 application for FIP benefits; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing with a Notice of Case Action regarding her June 19, 2014 application for FIP benefits.

Jacquelyn A. McClinton
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/27/2014

Date Mailed: 10/27/2014

JAM / cl

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

