# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-009139 Issue No.: 3002

Issue No.: 300 Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 09,2014
County: Washtenaw

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 9, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) included and

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 24, 2014, the Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 2. On June 26, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) requesting verification of countable assets including bank accounts by July 7, 2014.
- 3. On August 6, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of August 1, 2014.
- 4. On August 5, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility and this includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (April 1, 2014), p 5.

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (July 1, 2014), pp 1-9.

On June 24, 2014, the Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and the Department approved her for expedited benefits. On June 26, 2014, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) requesting verification of a bank account by July 7, 2014. On August 6, 2014, the Department had not received the required verification material and it notified the Claimant that it would close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of August 1, 2014.

The Claimant testified that she did not receive the Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) form. The Claimant testified that the Department failed to record her current mailing address properly.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). The evidence on the record indicates that the Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) was sent to the Claimant's current mailing address. The Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance

Program (FAP) benefits for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/13/2014

Date Mailed: 10/13/2014

KS/las

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

