## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-008127 1002 October 15, 2014 Macomb (20-Warren)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Benr	nane				
HEARING DECIS	ION				
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 at 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.0 notice, telephone hearing was held on October Participants on behalf of Claimant included Clair Department of Human Services (Department)	and 400.37; 7 CF .33; and 45 CFR · 15, 2014, from nant. Participan	R 273.15 to 273.18; 205.10. After due Detroit, Michigan.			
ISSUE					
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close C benefits for:					
	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony					
. Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ received: ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits.					
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by June	2, 2014.			

3.

On June 16, 2014, the Department

$\times$	denied Claimant's application.
	closed Claimant's case.
	reduced Claimant's benefits.

- 4. On June 16, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On July 18, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Department sent Claimant a verification checklist requesting verification of the Claimant's residential address. BAM 105 (April 2014). Claimant testified that she sent the information requested by facsimile but was unable to provide documentation of such a facsimile having been sent.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

$\boxtimes$	acted	in	accor	dance	with	Department	policy	when	it	denied	Claimant's	FIP
	applica	ition	for fai	lure to	provi	de requested	verifica	tion.				
	did not	act	in acco	ordanc	e with	n Department	policy v	vhen it				
	failed t	o sa	atisfy i	ts burd	den of	f showing tha	at it acte	ed in a	ссо	rdance	with Departr	ment
	policy v	whe	n it			J					·	

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

🔀 AFFIRMED.	
REVERSED.	
AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/20/2014

Date Mailed: 10/20/2014

MJB / pf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

