STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:14-007602Issue No.:2004Case No.:Image: Cost of the second sec

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 8, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, ______, of ______. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included _______, Hearings Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA through his authorized representative in July of 2012.
- 2. Claimant's authorized representative did not receive a written notice of the Department's determination regarding the MA application.
- 3. Claimant requested a hearing on July 15, 2014.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In the present case, the Department acknowledged that Claimant applied for MA in July of 2012. The Department representative at the hearing testified that a notice of case action was issued to Claimant. However, the Department representative was not able to confirm that the Department sent a copy of the notice of case action to Claimant's authorized representative. Claimant's authorized representative testified credibly that the authorized representative did not receive a notice of case action. Per BAM 220 (7/2012) Bridges notifies the client in writing of negative actions by generating appropriate notice of case action. Per BAM 110 (5/1/2012) the authorized representative assumes all the responsibilities of a client. Therefore, it is concluded that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy, when it neglected to send the notice of case action to Claimant's authorized representative.

In addition, the Department was unable to locate Claimant's July 2012 MA application. Therefore, to protect Claimant's rights, per BAM 105 (5/2012), the Department may accept Claimant's copy of the date-stamped application for reprocessing.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's July 2012 MA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and reprocess Claimant's July 2012 MA application, a copy of which application will be provided by Claimant's authorized representative to the Department.

Page 3 of 4 14-007602 SCB

2. Issue a written notice of the Department's MA determination to Claimant's authorized representative.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/30/2014

Date Mailed: 10/30/2014

SCB / tlf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

Page 4 of 4 14-007602 SCB

