STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:14-004864Issue No.:2004Case No.:Issue No.:Hearing Date:SeptemberCounty:WAYNE-DI

September 25, 2014 WAYNE-DISTRICT 19

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on September 25, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included her Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR), _______. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included _______, Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 14, 2013, the Social Security Administration (SSA) sent Claimant a Notice of Award, informing her that she was determined disabled and eligible to receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI), as of May 2012. (Exhibit B)
- 2. On November 6, 2013, submitted an application for Retroactive MA benefits on behalf of Claimant seeking MA benefits for the month of March 2012. (Exhibit A)
- 3. On May 23, 2014, filed a hearing request on behalf of Claimant, disputing the Department's actions and requesting that the Department properly process the retroactive MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Additionally, when the Department receives an application for assistance, it is to be registered and processed in accordance with Department policies. BAM 110 (July 2013), p.5, 18. The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the department receives an application/filing form, with minimum required information. BAM 115 (July 2013), pp. 11-15. The Department is to certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days, unless an exception applies, and upon certification of eligibility results, the Department is to notify clients in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. After processing an initial application, the Department will notify clients of the approval or denial. BAM 115, pp. 13, 18; BAM 220 (July 2013), p. 1.

Ongoing MA eligibility begins the first day of the month of SSI entitlement. Some clients may also qualify for retroactive (retro) MA coverage for up to three calendar months prior to SSI entitlement; see BAM 115. BEM 150 (July 2013), p.1; BAM 115, pp.11-13

In this case, prior to being approved for SSI, Claimant had submitted an application for MA benefits that was denied by the Department on the basis that the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined she was not disabled. After receiving the SSI Notice of Award, L&S submitted a retro application for MA benefits on behalf of Claimant, seeking coverage for the month of March 2012, on the basis that Claimant had been found disabled as of May 2012. BEM 150, p.1; BAM 115, pp.11-13.

At the hearing, the Department was unable to explain whether the retro application was registered or processed. The Department did not have any information concerning the status of the application and could not identify if Claimant's eligibility to receive MA was determined for the month of March 2012. Claimant's AHR testified that with the retro MA application submitted on November 6, 2013, it included a letter which indicated that in processing the application, it was also requesting the Department to resubmit the medical packet to the MRT with proof of the SSI approval, as it was newly discovered

medical evidence that was not available to the MRT when the prior decision was made. (Exhibit A).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy in processing Claimant's MA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Register and process Claimant's November 6, 2013, application for Retroactive MA benefits to determine her eligibility for MA for March 2012, ongoing, taking into consideration her entitlement to SSI benefits;
- 2. Provide Claimant with any MA coverage that she was eligible to receive but did not from March 2012, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant and in writing of its decision.

Laurab Raydown

Zainab Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/14/2014

Date Mailed: 10/14/2014

ZB / cl

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
 outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CC:	