
STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 14, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant.

appeared and testified as Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) for the reason that Claimant is not a disabled individual.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On an unspecified date, Claimant applied for Social Security Administration (SSA) benefits on the basis of disability.
- 2. On **Chaimant applied for MA benefits**, including retroactive MA benefits from 10/2013.
- 3. Claimant's only basis for MA benefits was as a disabled individual.

- 4. On **Example**, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual (see Exhibits 1-2).
- 5. On **DECOM**, DHS denied Claimant's application for MA benefits and mailed a Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the denial.
- 6. On Claimant's AHR requested a hearing disputing the denial of MA benefits.
- 7. On SHRT determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual, in part, by determining that Claimant can perform past relevant employment.
- 8. On an unspecified date, SSA approved Claimant for Social Security Disability (SSD) benefits, based on a disability onset date of

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Prior to a substantive analysis of Claimant's hearing request, it should be noted that Claimant's AHR noted special arrangements in order to participate in the hearing; specifically, an in-person hearing was requested. Claimant's AHR's request was subsequently amended to a telephone hearing. The hearing was conducted in accordance with Claimant's amended request.

The Medicaid program is comprised of several sub-programs which fall under one of two categories; one category is FIP-related and the second category is SSI-related. BEM 105 (10/2010), p. 1. To receive MA under an SSI-related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. *Id.* Families with dependent children, caretaker relatives of dependent children, persons under age 21 and pregnant, or recently pregnant, women receive MA under FIP-related categories. *Id.* AMP is an MA program available to persons not eligible for Medicaid through the SSI-related or FIP-related categories though DHS does always offer the program to applicants. It was not disputed that Claimant's only potential category for Medicaid eligibility would be as a disabled individual.

Disability for purposes of MA benefits is established if one of the following circumstances applies:

- by death (for the month of death);
- the applicant receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits;
- SSI benefits were recently terminated due to financial factors;
- the applicant receives Retirement Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) on the basis of being disabled; or
- RSDI eligibility is established following denial of the MA benefit application (under certain circumstances).
 BEM 260 (7/2012) pp. 1-2

DHS presented an SOLQ (Exhibits 2-1 2-3). An SOLQ is understood to be a document with a client's SSA benefit history from a data exchange between DHS and SSA. Claimant's SOLQ noted that Claimant was approved for RSDI benefits, effective **Claimant**. The approval was based on a disability onset date of **Claimant**. DHS conceded that Claimant's approval for SSD benefits made him a disabled individual as of 10/2013, the earliest month of MA benefits sought by Claimant. Accordingly, there is no dispute concerning Claimant's disability and it is found that DHS improperly denied Claimant's MA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that DHS improperly denied Claimant's application for MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's MA benefit application dated **MA**, including retroactive MA benefits from 10/2013;
- (2) evaluate Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits subject to the finding that Claimant is a disabled individual; and
- (3) initiate a supplement for any benefits not issued as a result of the improper application denial.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.

Christin Dardoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/5/2014

Date Mailed: <u>9/5/2014</u>

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
 outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

