STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-009280 Issue No.: 3007 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

September 10, 2014 DHS MI-CAP SSPC

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 10,2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's father and legal guardian. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearing Facilitator

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's MICAP Food Assistance Program when he began receiving income beyond just Supplemental Security Income (SSI)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MICAP Food Assistance Program benefits.
- 2. On August 1, 2014, Claimant began receiving a combination of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits and Retirement, Survivors, Disability Income benefits.
- 3. On July 31, 2014, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated his MICAP Food Assistance Program benefits would end as of September 1, 2014.
- On August 11, 2014, Claimant's father and legal guardian submitted a hearing 4. request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In this case Claimant had been receiving MICAP Food Assistance Program benefits. The requirements of the program are that the recipient receives SSI income and no other type of income. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 618 Michigan Combined Application Project (2014) page 1. Claimant's authorized hearing representative does not dispute that Claimant now receives benefits that are not just SSI. The authorized hearing representative disagrees with the Department's action. He argues that: the Social Security Administration made Claimant change from receiving only SSI; Claimant receives the same dollar value of assistance as before; Claimant still receives all his assistance from the Social Security Administration; and Claimant is being discriminated against because he is disabled. The authorized hearing representative did state that he understands Claimant can apply for Food Assistance Program benefits under the regular application process but has been told that Claimant probably will not be eligible for any Food Assistance Program benefits under the regular application process.

The authorized hearing representative's arguments center on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The remedy sought by the authorized hearing representative is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to a written directive signed by the Department of Human Services Director, which states:

Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals.

Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. *Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker*, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940); *Auto-Owners Ins Co v Elchuk*, 103 Mich App 542, 303 NW2d 35 (1981); *Delke v Scheuren*, 185 Mich App 326, 460 NW2d 324 (1990), and *Turner v Ford Motor Company*, unpublished opinion per curium of the Court of Appeals issued March 20, 2001 (Docket No. 223082).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MICAP Food Assistance Program when he began receiving income beyond just Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Paj J. Hen Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/16/2014

Date Mailed: 9/16/2014

GFH /hj

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

Page 4 of 4 14-009280 GFH / hj

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

