STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 14-009755 1000, 2000, 3011

September 16, 2014 Genesee-District 6

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held September 16, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Contemporation**. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Contemporation**.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly sanction the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits based on her non-cooperation with the Office of Child Support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. On May 21, 2014, and June 21, 2014, the Department's Office of Child Support requested that the Claimant provide information necessary to identify the absent parent of her child.
- 3. On July 16, 2014, the Department found the Claimant to be non-cooperative with the Office of Child Support.
- 4. On July 17, 0214, the Department notified the Claimant that it would reduce her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to the non-cooperation sanction.
- 5. On August 17, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the reduction of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department, including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court (FOC) and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. The custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualification includes member removal, as well as denial or closure of program benefits, depending on the type of assistance. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (October 1, 2014), pp 1-2.

The Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient as a group of two. On May 21, 2014, and June 21, 2014, the Department's Office of Child Support requested that the Claimant provide information necessary to identify the absent parent of her child. On July 16, 2014, the Department found the Claimant to be non-cooperative with the Office of Child Support. On July 17, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that it would reduce her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to the non-cooperation sanction.

The Claimant did not dispute that she failed to respond to the requests for information from the Office of Child Support. The Claimant testified that she did not know how to obtain the information that was being requested and that she had left a voicemail message with the Office of Child Support that was not returned.

The Claimant had a duty to provide the Department with all known information necessary to identify the absent parent of her child. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to make a reasonable effort to provide the Department with any of the information that was requested.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

The Claimant is an active Medical Assistance (MA) and Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient. It is likely that unless the Claimant cooperates with the Office of Child Support that these benefits will close, but as of the Claimant's request for a hearing, there does not appear to have been any negative action that can be settled by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

vin Scully

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/17/2014

Date Mailed: 9/17/2014

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

