STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

14-009714 3000

September 15,2014 MACOMB-12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert Chavez

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 15, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included termination. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included termination.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny	Claimant's applicati	on 🗌 close	Claimant's	case
Calculate Claimant's allotment for:				

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ⊠ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	 State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care 				
(CDC)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	Direct Support Services				
(DSS)?					
Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	State SSI Payments (SSP)?				

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant applied for		received:					
	FIP	FAP	MA	AMP	SDA	CDC	DSS	SSP
	benefits.							

- 2. On August 1, 2014, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ⊠ changed Claimant's benefit allotment due to per month.
- 3. On June 23, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On August 15, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

After opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case, and stated affirmatively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to claimant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.

In the current case, the Department testified that it must correct claimant's FAP budget with regards to claimant's medical expenses in order to correct the action take with regard to claimant's current benefits case. The Administrative Law Judge therefore holds that the Department must take the action stated.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

in accordance with Department policy when it calculated claimant's FAP budget.

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Recalculate claimant's FAP budget, and include claimant's medical expenses in the calculation.

Robert Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/23/2014

Date Mailed: 9/23/2014

RJC / tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

Page 4 of 4 14-009714 RJC

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

