## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:14-009498Issue No(s).:3002Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:September 11, 2014County:Wayne (18)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on September 11, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Example 1**, Assistance Payment Supervisor, and **Example 1**, Assistance Payment Worker.

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a failure to return self-employment verification?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. In connection with a redetermination, Claimant's eligibility to receive FAP benefits was reviewed.
- 3. On July 3, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist requesting that he submit proof of his self-employment income by July 14, 2014. (Exhibit 1)
- 4. On July 30, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that effective August 1, 2014, his FAP case would be closed on the basis that he failed to return verification of his self-employment income. (Exhibit 2)

5. On August 11, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130 (July 2014), p.1. To request verification of information, the Department sends a Verification Checklist (VCL) which tells the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130, p. 3. The client must obtain required verification, but the Department must assist if they need and request help. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain the verification despite reasonable effort, the Department is to use the best available information and if no evidence is available, the Department is to use its best judgment. BAM 130, p.3.

FAP clients are given 10 calendar days to provide the verifications requested by the Department. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130, pp.6-7. At redetermination, FAP clients have until the last day of the redetermination month or 10 days, whichever is later to provide the verifications; see BAM 210. For FAP cases, the Department sends a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 6. However, FAP benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed, all verifications are received and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210 (July 2014), p 2.

In this case, the Department testified that during a redetermination interview, Claimant informed the Department that he was paid to mow the lawn for his neighbor. The Department stated that it sent Claimant a VCL requesting that he submit proof of his self-employment income by July 14, 2014. (Exhibit 1) The Department testified that because it did not receive the requested verifications by the due date and because it did not receive any communications from Claimant indicating that he was unable to return the requested verifications, it was unable to certify a new FAP benefit period and a

Notice of Case Action was sent on July 30, 2014, informing Claimant of the case closure effective August 1, 2014. (Exhibit 2)

At the hearing, Claimant testified that he attempted to obtain a business receipt from his neighbor to submit to the Department, but that his neighbor would not provide him with one. Claimant confirmed that he did not contact the Department about his inability to obtain the requested information until after his case closed.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that because Claimant did not submit the requested information prior to the end of the certification period, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case based on a failure to return verification of his self-employment income.

### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 17, 2014

Date Mailed: September 18, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl

CC:	