#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-009361 Issue No(s).: 3002 Case No.: Hearing Date: County: Wayne (19)

September 8, 2014

## **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 8, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included 

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. On April 21, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist instructing her to submit proof of her ending employment at and proof of her starting employment at means by May 1, 2014. (Exhibit 1)
- On May 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing 3. her that effective June 1, 2014, her FAP case would be closed on the basis that verification of her employment was not returned. (Exhibit 4)

4. On August 7, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130 (April 2014), p.1. To request verification of information, the Department sends a verification checklist (VCL) which tells the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130, p. 3. Although the client must obtain the required verification, the Department must assist if a client needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain the verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department is to use the best available information; and if no evidence is available, the Department is to use its best judgment. BAM 130, p. 3.

With respect to FAP cases, clients are given 10 calendar days to provide the verifications requested by the Department. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130, pp.6-7. The Department sends a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 7.

In this case, the Department testified that because Claimant reported that she was no longer employed at **w** and that she returned to work at **w**, it requested that Claimant submit verification of her ending and starting employment by May 1, 2014. The Department stated that verification of employment forms were also sent to **w** and with instructions for completion and a due date. (Exhibit 1). The Department testified that on April 28, 2014, it received a completed verification of employment from (Exhibit 2). The Department further testified that because it did not receive a completed verification of employment form from **w** by the due date, it sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on May 19, 2014, informing her that effective June 1, 2014, her FAP case would be closed based on a failure to return requested employment information. (Exhibit 4). At the hearing, the Department stated that on May 21, 2014, it received a verification of employment from **matter** however, the second page was cut off and illegible as it was sent via fax. (Exhibit 3). The Department testified that Claimant's FAP case closed due to the verification of employment from **matter** 

Therefore, under the facts in this case and based on the evidence presented, Claimant and her employer made a reasonable effort to provide the information requested by the Department and did not indicate a refusal to do so. BAM 130, p 6. Because the documents were submitted to the Department prior to the end of the negative action date, the Department should have deleted the negative action and reactivated Claimant's FAP case, as the Department is not to deny or terminate assistance because an employer or other source refuses to verify income. BAM 220 (July 2014), p.12; BEM 501 (July 2014), p. 9.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective June 1, 2014.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case effective June 1, 2014;
- 2. Issue FAP supplements to Claimant from June 1, 2014, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy; and
- 3. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.

Lamab Kaydow

Zainab Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 12, 2014

Date Mailed: September 12, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tlf

CC:

