STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-009197 2002, 3002 Issue Nos.: Case No.: September 10, 2014 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (49-Gr River/Warren)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 10, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

- **Direct Support Services (DSS)?**
- State SSI Payments (SSP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- \boxtimes received: Claimant applied for 1. 🗌 FIP 🖂 MA AMP 🖾 FAP SSP benefits.
- 2. On May 31, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination packet with a redetermination telephone interview scheduled for June 16, 2014.

- 3. On June 28, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist requesting documentation of her rent and checking account.
- 4. On July 25, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing Claimant that her FAP benefits had closed July 1, 2014.
- 5. On July 25, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a health care coverage determination notice informing Claimant that her MA benefits were scheduled to close September 1, 2014.
- 6. On August 1, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she contacted the Department and informed her contact that she had been hospitalized. Claimant further testified that she was unable to procure the documentation requested by the Department in a timely manner.

The Department testified that it conducted the redetermination interview with Claimant on June 16, 2014, as scheduled, and subsequently sent Claimant the verification checklist on June 28, 2014.

The Department further testified that, when it became aware of Claimant's hospitalization, it extended the period of time for Claimant to provide the Department with the requested documentation to July 25, 2014. At that time, the Department sent Claimant the notice of case action and the health care coverage determination notice informing Claimant that her FAP closed effective July 1, 2014, and that her MA was scheduled to close September 1, 2014.

Although the Department testified that it extended Claimant's time to provide the Department with the requested documentation, there was no testimony or documentation that the Department informed Claimant of the extension.

Departmental policy demands that the Department needs to inform a claimant of a new due date for the information it is requesting. BAM 130 (July 2014). In the instant case, the Department extended the time for Claimant to provide the requested information but did not inform Claimant how much of an extension had been granted or what the new due date was.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

 \boxtimes did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to notify Claimant as to what new date was set for Claimant to provide the requested documentation.

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED. \boxtimes REVERSED.

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

 \boxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Complete the redetermination that began with a telephone interview on June 16, 2014, and, if Claimant is eligible, return to July 1, 2014, and supplement for any missed benefits

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/17/2014

Date Mailed: 9/17/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Page 5 of 5 14-009197 MJB

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

