# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-007901 Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 28, 2014

Hearing Date: County:

Kent-District 1 (Franklin)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl Johnson

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 28, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator , Assistance Payments Supervisor , and Eligibility Specialist

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 13, 2014, the Department mailed to Claimant a redetermination packet with a due date for her responses of June 2, 2014, and scheduling a telephone interview for June 2, 2014, at 11:30 a.m. (Exhibit 1 Page 4.)
- 2. When the packet was not returned by the due date, a Notice of Missed Interview (Exhibit 1 Page 5) was mailed to Claimant. (Exhibit 1 Page 5.)
- 3. On June 30, 2014, the Department closed Claimant's FAP effective July 1, 2014.
- 4. On July 23, 2014, the Department received Claimant's hearing request.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The issue is whether the Claimant provided a timely response to the redetermination. The evidence is persuasive that the form was mailed to the Claimant at her address of record. The evidence also establishes that the Claimant did not respond or make a reasonable effort to respond by the deadline. She testified that she faxed the paperwork to the Department, but even though she claimed to have had confirmation of her faxes, she did not provide copies of those confirmation sheets prior to the negative action, and in fact did not provide them at the hearing. Also, she admitted she did not participate in the telephone interview.

The Department used the address that the Claimant provided – and which she verified at the hearing. The Claimant has failed to rebut the presumption that she received the Notice. In common-law, there is a presumption that letters have been received after being placed in the mail in the due course of business. See *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270, 275-278 (1976).

Because Claimant has not produced evidence to show that she responded timely and fully to the Redetermination, the undersigned is persuaded that Claimant did not comply timely, and did not make a reasonable effort to comply timely.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/3/2014

Date Mailed: 9/3/2014

DTJ / jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

