## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-004261 1001; 4001 August 20, 2014 Oakland-District 3 (Walled Lake)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie		
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, August 20,2014, from Walled Lake, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Essential Control of the Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application for:		
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li></ul>	☐ Child Dev ☐ Direct Su	ability Assistance (SDA)? relopment and Care (CDC)? pport Services (DSS)? Payments (SSP)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
. Claimant ⊠ applied for ⊠ FIP and ⊠ SDA benefits.		
2. On May 14, 2014, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application due to denied the Claimant's application in error stating that she did not have any minor in the household for FIP.		

3. On May 14, 2014, the Department sent Claimant its decision.

4. On May 28, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Mich Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Additionally, the Claimant applied for SDA on March 4, 2014. On May 13, 2014, the Medical Review Team denied the Claimant's application for SDA stating that her physical or mental impairment did not prevent employment for 90 days or more. Department Exhibit a-b. On May 15, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that she was denied for SDA. On May 23, 2014, the Claimant requested a hearing on her SDA application. The Claimant was applying for SDA based on disability so once she applied for a hearing her medical packet should have been sent to SHRT as required by policy at that time, but she was being considered for FIP in error instead of SDA. As a result, her medical packet was not sent SHRT once she requested a hearing. The Department has corrected their error and re-registered the Claimant for the correct program of SDA. Therefore, the Department has met their burden that the Claimant's medical packet should have been sent to SHRT once she asked for a hearing on her MRT denial.. BEM 210, 214, and 630.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it re-registered the Claimant for SDA instead of FIP and sent her medical packet to SHRT to be reviewed for SDA as is required by policy.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Carmon II. Salvie

Date Signed: 9/12/2014

Date Mailed: 9/12/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF / tb

