STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-000482

Issue No.: 2009 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 6, 2014

County: Genesee-District 2 (MC Cree)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 06, 2014, from Flint, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Claimant's Authorized Hearings Representative

. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department)

included

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA)) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P on May 21, 2013, with a request for retroactive coverage back to February 2013.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on March 19, 2014.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on March 31, 2014, regarding the MA denial.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on August 6, 2014.
- On April 17, 2014, the State Hearing Review Team denied the application because there is a Social Security Administration Administrative Law Judge decision dated November 5, 2013, denying Claimant's appeal.
- 6. Claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 125 pounds.

- 7. Claimant is 54 years of age.
- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as back pain, hypertension, supraventricular tachycardia, atrial fibrillation, acid reflux, neuropathy, learning disorder, depression, anxiety, personality disorder, immune disorder and schizophrenia.
- 9. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, headaches, insomnia, memory and concentration problems, paranoia, panic attacks, crying spells, auditory hallucinations
- 10. Claimant completed high school and some college.
- 11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 12. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked full time in 2008 at a printing factory.
- 13. Claimant lives with her father.
- 14. Claimant testified that he cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
 - a. Lamictal
 - b. Latuda
 - c. Haldol
 - d. Bystolic
 - e. Neurontin
 - f. Xanax
 - g. Celexa
- 16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
 - i. Sitting: 60 minutes
 - ii. Standing: 10 minutes
 - iii. Walking: 25 feet
 - iv. Bend/stoop: some difficulty
 - v. Lifting: 2 lbs.
 - vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
- 17. In a psychological evaluation dated ______, Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 55 with diagnoses of bipolar disorder and anxiety disorder.
- 18. In a psychological evaluation dated have a GAF score of 65.

19. Claimant received an unfavorable decision from the Social Security Administration in November 2013, and that denial was upheld by the Appeals Council in December 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 12.03 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery

and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a printing factory worker. Working as a printing factory worker, as described by Claimant at hearing, would be considered light work. The Claimant's impairments would not prevent Claimant from doing past relevant work. Therefore, Claimant's appeal is denied at step four. Claimant's testimony regarding her physical limitations was not supported by substantial medical evidence. Claimant failed to present substantial medical evidence that Claimant has an ongoing psychological impairment that is significantly limiting. Claimant received unfavorable final decisions from the Social Security Administration that covered the period of time in question, and this could have been the basis for her appeal being denied as well.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is NOT medically disabled for the purposes of MA-P eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

Am Milita

Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/5/2014

Date Mailed: 9/5/2014

AM / jaf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

