STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2014-34537 3006

July 31, 2014 Kalamazoo (00)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included

Participants on behalf of Respondent included Respondent.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did Respondent receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department.
- 2. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP OI during the period July 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014, due to Respondent's error.
- 3. The Department alleges that Respondent received a \$1,597.00 OI that is still due and owing to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, when a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700 (July 2013), p. 1. An agency error OI is caused by incorrect actions by the Department, including delayed or no action, which result in the client receiving more benefits than they were entitled to receive. BAM 700, p.4. A client error OI occurs when the client received more benefits than they were entitled to because the client gave incorrect or inaccurate information to the Department. BAM 700, p.6.

The amount of the OI is the benefit amount the client actually received minus the amount the client was eligible to receive. BAM 715 (July 2013), pp. 1, 6; BAM 705 (July 2013), p 6.

In this case, the Department alleges that Respondent received a \$1,597.00 client error caused OI in FAP benefits from July 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014, due to her failure to report earnings and employment that began on May 17, 2013. The Department testified that the unreported earnings were discovered by a Wage Match on March 25, 2014. Clients must report changes in circumstances, such as changes in income or employment that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. BAM 105 (July 2013), p. 8

At the hearing, the Department established that the State of Michigan issued \$1,597.00 in FAP benefits to Respondent from July 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014. The Department alleges that Respondent was eligible for \$148.00 in FAP benefits during this period. Claimant confirmed that she has been employed since May 2014. The Department provided FAP OI budgets for review. A review of the FAP OI budgets for each of the months at issue shows that, when Respondent's unreported earned income is included in the calculation of her FAP budget, she was eligible to receive only \$148.00, based on the FAP income limits and her group size.

Thus, the Department is entitled to recoup or collect from Respondent \$1,597.00, the difference between the \$1,745.00 in FAP benefits actually issued and the \$148 in FAP benefits she was eligible to receive between July 1, 2013 and March 31, 2014.

However, Claimant testified that as of the date of the hearing, she had paid \$200.00 towards the total amount owed to the Department.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did establish a FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling \$1,597.00.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is **AFFIRMED**.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a \$1,597.00 FAP OI, less any payments already received, in accordance with Department policy.

laquel at

JACQUELYN A. MCCLINTON Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2014

Date Mailed: August 20, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JAM/cl