

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-31953
Issue No.: 2009
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 1, 2014
County: St. Clair

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, in-person hearing was held on [REDACTED], from Port Huron, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, his authorized representative, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Whether claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and retroactive Medical Assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On July 17, 2013, the Claimant applied for MA-P and retroactive MA-P to May 2013.
2. On December 18, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the Claimant's application for MA-P stating that the Claimant's impairment lacks duration of 12 months per 20 CFR 416.909.
3. On January 2, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that his application was denied.

4. On March 14, 2014, the Department received a hearing request from the Claimant, contesting the Department's negative action.
5. On May 20, 2014, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P for the Claimant. The Claimant is [REDACTED] years old with a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and a history of semi-skilled work. He alleges disability due to cerebral vascular accident (CVA), high blood pressure, headaches, hip problems, and back problems. The Claimant is not currently engaged in substantial gainful activity (SGA) based on the information in the file. The Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence on the record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform medium work. Therefore, based on the Claimant's vocational profile (advanced age individual, high school education, and history of semi-skilled work), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 203.15 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied.
6. During the hearing on [REDACTED], the Claimant requested permission to submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical information was received from the Local Office on [REDACTED] and forwarded to SHRT for review on [REDACTED].
2. On [REDACTED] considered the newly submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The Claimant is [REDACTED] and a history of employment. He alleges disability secondary due to cerebral vascular accident (CVA), high blood pressure, headaches, hip problems, and back problems. The Claimant is not currently engaged in substantial gainful activity (SGA) based on the information in the file. The Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence on the record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform light exertional work. The Claimant's past work was as a [REDACTED]. As such, the Claimant would be unable to perform the duties associated with their past work. Likewise, the Claimant's past work skills will not transfer to other occupations. Based on the Claimant's vocational profile (advanced age individual, [REDACTED]n, and history of employment), MA-P is approved using Vocational Rule 202.06 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also approved. This case needs to be reviewed to determine continuing MA-P benefits on [REDACTED].

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).


The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Because of the SHRT determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per Program Administrative Manual, Item 600.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done. The Claimant is eligible for MA-P retroactive to [REDACTED] based on the Claimant's [REDACTED] application with a medical review required [REDACTED] per the SHRT decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance program retroactive to [REDACTED] based on the Claimant's [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with a medical review required [REDACTED] per the SHRT decision.



Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/14/14
Date Mailed: 8/15/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of

this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

cc:

