# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2014-1184 Issue No.: 2009; 4009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 24,2014

County: Branch

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, in-person hearing was held on from Coldwater, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, her authorized representative, n. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , APSup.

### ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 30, 2013, the Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA.
  - 2. On the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and SDA. The Claimant is 45 years old with a high school education and a history of semi-skilled work. She alleges disability due to chest pain, high blood pressure, fibromyalgia, left knee problems, lupus, hernia, and agoraphobia. The Claimant is not currently engaged in substantial gainful activity (SGA) based on the information in the file. The Claimant's impairments do meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing 14.02 A1, 2. The medical evidence on the record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform light exertional work. MA-P is approved. SDA is approved per BEM 261. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also approved effective January 2013. This case needs to be reviewed to determine continuing MA-P and SDA benefits on August 2014.

3. This Administrative Law Judge extends the medical review to based on the objective medical evidence in the file and on the record.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Because of the SHRT determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per Program Administrative Manual, Item 600.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done. The Claimant is eligible for MA-P retroactive to and SDA based on the Claimant's application with a medical review required per the SHRT decision.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under retroactive to

required per the SHRT decision.

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Cormon I.

Date Signed: 8/14/14

Date Mailed: 8/15/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

