STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-007638

Issue No.: 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 26, 2014
County: DHS SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 26, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on June 11, 2014.
- 2. The Claimant receives monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of \$\frac{1}{2}\$
- 3. The Claimant receives monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 4. The Claimant receives monthly State Supplemental Security Income (SSP) in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 5. On July 2, 2014, the Department approved the Claimant for a prorated benefit of for June of 2014 based on her application date, and a monthly allotment of effective July 1, 2014.

 On July 15, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the Department's determination of her monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (July 1, 2013).

In this case, the Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as a group of one on June 11, 2014. The Claimant receives monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ The Claimant receives monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in the gross monthly amount of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ The Claimant receives monthly State Supplemental Security Income (SSP) in the gross monthly amount of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ The Claimant's total adjusted gross income of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ was determined by subtracting the standard \$\frac{1}{2}\$ deduction from her total monthly gross income. The Claimant failed to establish any medical, or child care expenses that could be used to reduce her countable income. The Claimant's excess shelter deduction of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ was determined by subtracting 50% of her adjusted gross income from the sum of her monthly shelter expense of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and the \$\frac{1}{2}\$ telephone deduction.

The Claimant's net income was determined by subtracting her excess shelter deduction from her adjusted gross income. A group of one with a net income of sis entitled to a monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Department of Human Services Reference Table Manual (RFT) 260 (December 1, 2013).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined the Claimant's monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: 8/28/2014 Department of Human Services

Date Mailed: 8/28/2014

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

